# The regional variation of aboveground live biomass in old-growth Amazonian forests

YADVINDER MALHI\*<sup>†</sup>, DANIEL WOOD<sup>†</sup>, TIMOTHY R. BAKER<sup>§</sup>, JAMES WRIGHT<sup>¶</sup>, OLIVER L. PHILLIPSS, THOMAS COCHRANE ||, PATRICK MEIR<sup>†</sup>, JEROME CHAVE<sup>\*\*</sup>, SAMUEL ALMEIDA<sup>††</sup>, LUZMILLA ARROYO<sup>‡‡</sup>, NIRO HIGUCHI<sub>\$</sub>\$, TIMOTHY J. KILLEEN¶¶, SUSAN G. LAURANCE||||, WILLIAM F. LAURANCE||||, SIMON L. LEWISS, ABEL MONTEAGUDO\*\*\*†††, DAVID A. NEILL‡‡‡, PERCY NÚÑEZ VARGAS§§§, NIGEL C. A. PITMAN¶¶¶, CARLOS ALBERTO QUESADA§, RAFAEL SALOMÃO††, JOSÉ NATALINO M. SILVA |||||\*\*\*\*, ARMANDO TORRES LEZAMA††††, JOHN TERBORGH¶¶¶, RODOLFO VÁSQUEZ MARTÍNEZ†††and BARBARA VINCETI‡‡‡‡ \*Oxford University Centre for the Environment, South Parks Road, Oxford, UK, †School of GeoSciences, University of Edinburgh, Darwin Building, Mayfield Road, Edinburgh, UK, SEarth and Biosphere Institute, Geography, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK, "Department of Geography, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK, "Agteca, Casilla Postal 6329, Santa Cruz, Bolivia, \*\*Laboratoire Evolution et Diversité Biologique, CNRS/UPS, Toulouse, France, ††Museu Paraense Emilio Goeldi, Belém, Brazil, ttMuseo Noel Kempff Mercado, Santa Cruz, Bolivia, SSInstituto National de Pesquisas Amazônicas, Manaus, Brazil, ¶¶Center for Applied Biodiversity Science, Conservation International, Washington, DC, USA, ||||Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute, Balboa, Panama, \*\*\*Herbario Vargas, Universidad Nacional San Antonio Abad del Cusco, Cusco, Peru, †††Proyecto Flora del Perú, Jardin Botanico de Missouri, Oxapampa, Perú, 11 Fundacion Jatun Sacha, Quito, Ecuador, SSSHerbario Vargas, Universidad Nacional San Antonio Abad del Cusco, Cusco, Peru, ¶¶Center for Tropical Conservation, Duke University, Durham, NC, USA, |||||CIFOR, Tapajos, Brazil, \*\*\*\*EMBRAPA Amazonia Oriental, Belém, Brazil, ††††INDEFOR, Facultad de Ciencias Forestales y Ambientale, Universidad de Los Andes, Mérida, Venezuela, 1111 International Plant Genetic Resources Institute, Rome, Italy

# Abstract

The biomass of tropical forests plays an important role in the global carbon cycle, both as a dynamic reservoir of carbon, and as a source of carbon dioxide to the atmosphere in areas undergoing deforestation. However, the absolute magnitude and environmental determinants of tropical forest biomass are still poorly understood. Here, we present a new synthesis and interpolation of the basal area and aboveground live biomass of old-growth lowland tropical forests across South America, based on data from 227 forest plots, many previously unpublished. Forest biomass was analyzed in terms of two uncorrelated factors: basal area and mean wood density. Basal area is strongly affected by local landscape factors, but is relatively invariant at regional scale in moist tropical forests, and declines significantly at the dry periphery of the forest zone. Mean wood density is inversely correlated with forest dynamics, being lower in the dynamic forests of western Amazonia and high in the slow-growing forests of eastern Amazonia. The combination of these two factors results in biomass being highest in the moderately seasonal, slow growing forests of central Amazonia and the Guyanas (up to 350 Mg dry weight ha<sup>-1</sup>) and declining to 200-250 Mg dry weight ha<sup>-1</sup> at the western, southern and eastern margins. Overall, we estimate the total aboveground live biomass of intact Amazonian rainforests (area  $5.76 \times 10^6$  km<sup>2</sup> in 2000) to be 93  $\pm$  23 Pg C, taking into account lianas and small trees. Including dead biomass and belowground biomass would increase this value by approximately 10% and 21%, respectively, but the spatial variation of these additional terms still needs to be quantified.

Keywords: Amazonia, biomass, carbon, soil fertility, tropical forests, wood density

Received 10 January 2005; revised version received 18 August 2005; accepted 3 October 2005

Correspondence: Y. Malhi, Oxford University Centre for the Environment, South Parks Road, Oxford OX1 3QY, UK, tel: +44 (0)1865 285188, fax +44 (0)1865 271929, e-mail: ymalhi@ouce.ox.ac.uk

© 2006 The Authors Journal compilation © 2006 Blackwell Publishing Ltd

#### Introduction

The lowland tropical forests of South America account for about half of the world's tropical forest area (FAO, 2001). They are estimated to account for 30% of global productivity (Roy et al., 2001) and 25% of global biodiversity (Groombridge & Jenkins, 2003). They are also being cleared at rapid rates (Achard et al., 2002), and are, thus, a major carbon source, equivalent to 5-10% of fossil fuel emissions in the 1990s (Achard et al., 2004). Ouantifying the amount of carbon stored and cycled in these forests is clearly important. In addition, there is accumulating evidence that old-growth tropical forests may be accelerating in growth (Lewis et al., 2004), recruitment and mortality (Phillips & Gentry, 1994; Phillips et al., 2004), increasing in biomass (Malhi & Grace, 2000; Baker et al., 2004a) and shifting in ecological composition (Phillips et al., 2002; Laurance et al., 2004), but there is little understanding on the constraints and determinants of current forest biomass.

The absolute magnitude and spatial variation of biomass in these forests are poorly quantified. Recent estimates of forest biomass have come from either interpolation of plot studies (Houghton et al., 2001), or are based on a combination of modelling and remotesensing approaches (Houghton et al., 2001; Potter et al., 2001). Interpolation from site studies is hampered by the low number of systematically consistent compilations, or by only partial inventories of large trees or partial geographical coverage, such as RADAMBRASIL (Brown & Lugo, 1992; Fearnside, 1997). Model studies, on the other hand, are based on predictions of productivity, and often incorporate untested assumptions about the relationship between gross photosynthesis, wood productivity and total biomass (Malhi et al., in preparation).

Here, we present a data synthesis and interpolation of results based on a compilation of biomass and basal area data across the South American lowland tropical forests. Many of these site data are previously unpublished, and/or are part of the RAINFOR network (Malhi *et al.*, 2002) of Neotropical forest plots; other data are gathered from published or grey literature. We incorporate data from 226 sites in eight South American countries, to our knowledge the most spatially extensive dataset to date on neotropical forest biomass. We also include data from one well-studied central American site (Barro Colorado Island, Panama) in the analysis, but not in the spatial interpolations.

A novel feature that is accounted for in this analysis is biogeographic variation in mean forest wood density that is driven by shifts in tree species composition (Terborgh & Andresen, 1998). Analysing a subset of the plots presented here (Baker *et al.*, 2004b) found that spatial variations in wood density play a major role in determining spatial variations in biomass. The mean wood density was found to be inversely correlated with wood productivity, with more dynamic forests having more light wood species. Moreover, aboveground wood productivity appears related to soil properties, but not to climate (Malhi *et al.*, 2004). Our aim here is to assess how this variation in wood density affects regional patterns and total estimates of Amazonian forest biomass.

For the study here, we focus on South American tropical lowland forests. Ninety-five percent of these forests lie in a contiguous block in the Amazon and Orinoco basins and Guyana shield and are floristically interconnected; for convenient shorthand these will be referred to as 'Amazonian forests'. The remaining 5% lie in small blocks west of the Andes, and in fragments in eastern Brazil and on the Atlantic coast. We focus solely on extrapolation of biomass from apparently undisturbed old-growth forests. Hence, our extrapolated maps are estimates of the undisturbed biomass of Amazonian forests, and we do not try to account for human impacts such as forest degradation, cryptic forest impoverishment, which may be occurring at rates of  $10-15000 \text{ km}^2 \text{ a}^{-1}$ , and edge effects (Laurance *et al.*, 1997; Nepstad et al., 1999). Our aim is to understand how the background biomass of these forests varies with regional-scale environmental factors, not to quantify human impacts on these forests.

## Materials and methods

#### Field sites, forest cover and climate

The data used in this study are listed in Table A1 in Appendix A, and mapped in Fig. 1. The dataset is a compilation of published values and unpublished data compiled by the authors within the RAINFOR project (Malhi et al., 2002, www.geog.leeds.ac.uk/projects/ rainfor), with the source indicated in Table 1. In total, there are 227 plots in the dataset, with reasonable distribution across Amazonia. The largest spatial gaps in our dataset are Colombia and the southern Brazilian Amazon. For the majority of sites (open circles in Fig. 1) only data on basal area were available (in general from published data). For a subset of sites (filled circles; bold type in the 'biomass' column in Table A1) the aboveground dry weight live biomass (henceforth 'AGL biomass') was directly estimated within the RAINFOR project, using individual tree diameter data and taxonomy to directly account for wood density and the size-class distribution of stems (Baker et al., 2004b). Only trees with diameter at 1.3 m (dbh)>10 cm were



**Fig. 1** Forest site locations where basal area measurements have been taken in lowland South American tropical forests. Filled circles indicate sites where the availability of taxonomic data permitted direct calculation of mean wood density; open circles indicate sites where only information on total basal area was available.

Table 1	Cross-correlation matrix of forest plot basal area against various climatic variables, including the multi-variate	e ENSO
index		

	Basal area	Mean dry season length	Mean monthly rainfall	Mean monthly temperature	Mean monthly solar	ENSO index
Basal area	1					
Mean dry season length	-0.25	1				
	(-0.38)					
Mean monthly rainfall	0.28	-0.88	1			
-	(0.38)	(-0.88)				
Mean monthly temperature	-0.01	-0.02	-0.16	1		
	(-0.01)	(0.05)	(-0.23)			
Mean monthly solar	-0.08	0.50	-0.30	-0.49	1	
2	(-0.22)	(0.48)	(-0.28)	(-0.47)		
ENSO index	-0.21	0.22	-0.23	-0.46	0.51	1
	(-0.16)	(0.36)	(-0.24)	(0.05)	(0.17)	

Figures in normal type are for all forest plot data; figures in bold type in brackets are after removal of 28 outlier plots as described in the text.

ENSO, El Nino-southern oscillation.

considered in plot-level estimates of biomass; small trees and lianas may account for up to a further 10% of biomass (Phillips *et al.*, 1998; DeWalt & Chave, 2004). Biomass for these plots was estimated using individual tree allometric relationships derived from direct sampling in central Amazonia, with additional incorporation of wood density data for each species; details are given in Baker *et al.* (2004b).

The approach to estimate biomass applied here tries to take into account spatial variation in basal area, stem size distribution and wood density. One factor that is still not accounted for is spatial variation in allometry (i.e. the tree height and biomass supported for a given tree basal area). The data that exist to date (T. Baker, unpublished data) give no indication of a clear relationship between allometry and environmental factors, although it would be expected from hydrological considerations (Meinzer et al., 1999; 2001) that tree height per unit basal area would reduce with increasing dry season length. As the biomass estimates derived here apply an allometric relationship derived for the central Amazon near Manaus, it is likely that tree height and biomass at the dry margins of Amazonia will be overestimated.

We concentrate our analyses and extrapolations on the continuous lowland tropical rainforest region centred on the Amazon Basin. The dry limits of 'rainforests' are rather arbitrary and vary according to source and climatic dataset applied. Here, we use a definition of a lowland tropical rainforest as equivalent to the 'rainforest' plus 'tropical moist forest' categories in the FAO Global Forest Resources Assessment 2000 (FAO Forestry Paper 140, data available online at http://www.fao.org/forestry/fo/fra), and at an elevation less than 1000 m. The FAO defines tropical forests as forests with mean temperature in all months over 18 °C, with 0–3 dry months (rainforests) or 3–5 dry months (moist deciduous forest), where dry months are defined as months where total precipitation in millimeters is equal to or less than twice the mean temperature in degree Celsius.<sup>1</sup> Some estimates of tropical forest area also include the 'tropical dry forest category'. However, this category frequently grades into woody savanna regions, and is excluded from the current analysis. The forest cover map was

coarsened to  $0.5^{\circ}$  resolution to be compatible with the climate dataset. Our study area included significant areas outside the Amazon watershed, in particular large areas of the Orinoco Basin, the Guyana lowlands and the Brazilian periphery east of the mouth of the Amazon. However, these areas form a phytogeographic continuum with Amazon lowland rainforest, and hence, it is reasonable to adopt the shorthand 'Amazonia' to describe this entire lowland tropical forest region. Other recent maps of forest cover (Achard et al., 2002; DeFries et al., 2002; Eva et al., 2004) differ at the margins from the FAO map; hence estimates of the total biomass of Amazonian rainforests will also depend on the spatial extent of forests in different analyses. This topic is not addressed here. For the definition used here, the total extent of Amazonian forests is  $5.76 \times 10^6 \text{ km}^2$ .

The climatic and soils variations across the region were discussed by (Malhi *et al.*, 2004). In summary:

- (i) There is a general trend of increasing rainfall and decreasing seasonality heading towards northwestern Amazonia, but also high rainfall on the eastern Brazilian and Guyanese coasts;
- (ii) The El Nino-Southern Oscillation (ENSO) has greatest influence in northern Amazonia, and in particular often leads to episodic droughts in central and eastern Amazonia. ENSO has little consistent influence on rainfall in southwestern Amazonia.
- (iii) Sunshine is higher but more seasonal at the northern and southern margins of Amazonia, where the climate shifts towards 'outer tropical' and there are long dry seasons.
- (iv) The lowland Amazonian plain consists of low plateaux dissected by river values, and rises very gradually in mean elevation from sea level in the east to 2–300 m a.s.l. in the west. The Brazilian and Guyanese crystalline shield rise to the north and south, with typical elevations of 600–1000 m, and the Andes mountain chain bounds Amazonia to the west.
- (v) The most highly weathered soils generally occur in the eastern Amazonian lowlands (Sombroek, 2000), intermediate fertilities are generally found on the crystalline shield regions, and highest fertilities in the Andean foothills, and on sediment-rich floodplains throughout the region. We employ the Cochrane map of soils (www.agteca.com) as our basic soil map. This map does not cover the Guyanas and some sections of eastern Amazonia; for these regions we employed the FAO world soils map. The reclassification of these soil maps into eight basic soil categories is described in a companion paper (Malhi *et al.*, in preparation).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Some of the sites presented in Table A1 are estimated to have dry seasons greater than 5 months. This arises from a mismatch between the climatology used for the original FAO map and the climatology we use here – both climatologies are based on sparse data sets and subject to uncertainty in local details. For simplicity where retain the use of the two data sets despite the contradictions at the forest margins.



**Fig. 2** A flow diagram indicating the analysis pathways presented in this paper. Squares indicate maps, circles indicates table of values for each plot, shaded squares indicate the end-products: maps of biomass. Details of the analysis pathways are presented in the text.

#### Analysis methodology

Our approach here, is as follows (letters refer to the flow diagram in Fig. 2):

- interpolate the available plot data on basal area per hectare (A) to generate maps of the variation of basal area across South American tropical forests (B);
- (2) examine the relationship between biomass, basal area and coarse wood productivity for a subset of plots where these parameters were directly estimated by Baker *et al.* (2004b) and Malhi *et al.* (2004);
- (3) apply the relationship derived in (2) to two different maps of wood productivity derived by Malhi *et al.* (in preparation) to produce maps of the structural conversion factor required to convert a basal area measurement to a biomass estimate (D);
- (4) directly overlay the map of basal area (B) with the map of the conversion factor (D) to produce a map of biomass (E);
- (5) as an alternative approach, use the map of conversion factor (D) to extract the conversion factor for each plot where only basal area information is available (F), and thus, derive an estimate of biomass for each plot (G) and interpolate these to arrive at alternative maps of biomass (H).

Spatial interpolation of the plot data was investigated using three different approaches: kriging, spline interpolation and inverse distance weighting (IDW). Kriging and spline interpolation approaches performed poorly because the high variability of biomass between plots at local scales. Basal area shows considerable local and landscape scale variability (in contrast to productivity, for example, which is dominated by regional-scale variation: Malhi *et al.*, 2004). Consequently, the least sophisticated interpolation method (IDW) was found to be most appropriate, and was applied using the geostatistical analysis tool in ArcMAP (ESRI, Redlands, CA, USA). For any value of a continuous variable B(x, y) interpolated between the *N* neighbouring points  $(x_i, y_i)$  in the search window(i = 1, 2, ..., N), the IDW interpolation at point (x, y) is

$$B(x,y) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \cdot B(x_i, y_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i},$$

where

$$w_i = \left[ (x - x_i)^2 + (y - y_i)^2 \right]^{-p/2}$$

The power, p, is an adjustable parameter that controls the rate of decline of the weighting function. For our purposes the most appropriate setting was p = 1: this resulted in a smoothed interpolation with a large number of neighbouring points having some influence, with only a slow decline in weighting function with distance.

#### Results

#### Spatial interpolation of basal area

Our first step is to use the plot measurements of basal area (A in Fig. 2) to produce a best estimate map of basal area (B in Fig. 2). An IDW interpolation of all basal area measurements is shown in Fig. 3a. A feature that stands out is local 'bulls-eyes' driven by individual plots with unusually high or low values. This contrasts with



Fig. 3 (a) A simple interpolation of 227 basal area measurements using inverse distance weighting across lowland South American tropical forests; (b) an interpolation of 199 basal area measurements (28 plots have been removed as 'outliers' – details given in the text).

maps of forest productivity, which are much smoother. This feature arises because basal area is much more affected by local landscape features (e.g. local topography, or recent natural disturbance) and such local variations can swamp regional patterns. In addition, 1 ha sample plots may not be large enough to accurately sample the variance in biomass introduced by large trees (Chave *et al.*, 2003), but may be sufficient for assessment of wood productivity, as evidenced by the greater similarity in wood productivity values between neighbouring plots (Malhi *et al.*, 2004).

As our interest here is to examine broad regional patterns, we employed a filter to remove locally anomalous plots and smooth the data set. The approach identified clusters of forest plots (of varying sizes) and local anomalous plots were removed if the value for the plot fell outside the range (mean value of neighbours  $\pm$  standard deviation of neighbouring values  $\times$  threshold), where the threshold was varied between values of 1.0 and 1.8.

The effect of removing anomalous plots is shown in Fig. 4. Removing outliers improves the predictive power of the interpolation (as would be expected), but with greater data removal there is greater danger of losing genuine regional variation. We adopted a compromise approach of using a search radius of 250 km and a threshold value of 1.6 for anomaly removal. This corresponds to an inflection in Fig. 4 which indicates an optimal compromise between a significant improvement in predictive power and minimum data shedding. This removes 28 plots (12% of plots; removed plots are indicated with an asterisk in Table A1), and improves the cross-validation statistics by 22%. The interpolated may of basal area with outliers removed is shown in Fig. 3b. The 'bulls-eye' pattern has reduced in intensity, although not disappeared completely.

The relationship between basal area and climatic variables was explored by cross-correlation analysis (Table 1). The cross-correlations were significantly improved by the removal of locally anomalous plots. The strongest correlation (-0.38) was found to be with dry season length and/or total annual rainfall (these two climatic variables were strongly correlated), and this relationship was explored further.

Figure 5 plots the basal area against dry season length. There is substantial site-to-site variability, indicating that local landscape controls dominate over regional trends. There is little evidence of any relationship for moderate seasonality (less than 4 months dry season), but evidence of a general decline in the basal area of tropical forests with increasing water stress for longer dry season lengths. This is to be expected as root competition for dry season water resources intensifies, and the ground surface is able to support



**Fig. 4** The root mean squared error value obtained by cross validation of inverse distance weighting interpolations of basal area, plotted against the percentage of local anomalous plots removed using different thresholds for outlier identification. The different lines represent different sized search radii employed by the outlier identification algorithm. The arrow indicated the selected optimum outlier removal procedure (threshold = 1.6, search radius = 250 km), a compromise between maximizing error reduction and minimizing data shedding.



**Fig. 5** Plot measurements of basal area (with 28 local outlier plots removed) plotted against dry season length. Grey diamonds indicate individual plot data, open circles are binned means, error bars are 95% confidence limits. The polynomial trend line is fitted through the binned means:  $y = -0.22x^2 + 0.50x + 29.84$  ( $r^2 = 0.93$ ). A polynomial fit through individual points is almost identical:  $y = 0.29x^2 + 1.00x + 28.87$  ( $r^2 = 0.18$ ).

less stem water uptake per unit area (e.g. Meinzer *et al.,* 1999).

A revised interpolation of basal area with dry season length factored in (Fig. 6) was conducted by calculation of a smooth mean basal area field from the basal area– dry season length relationship in Fig. 5, and then IDW interpolation and superposition of the residuals of each data point relative to this mean field. There was no significant correlation between the residuals and any other climatic variable. The major difference from Fig. 3



**Fig. 6** An interpolation of forest basal area across lowland South American tropical forests incorporating the relationship with dry season length described in Fig. 5 (with 28 local outlier plots removed).

is in south-eastern Amazonia, where there is a paucity of field plots. This extra information gained from incorporating dry season length into the model suggests that the wetter forests extend further into this region than suggested by direct spatial interpolation of plot data, and hence, basal area in this region is higher than expected. Another feature is a reduction of predicted basal area in the dry region in the central Guyanas, which is consistent with the available plot data in the region. We consider this interpolation to be our current best estimate of the spatial variation of basal area in Amazonia.

#### Structural and density factor

Our next step is to relate basal area to biomass. We will refer to the ratio between aboveground live biomass (of trees > 10 cm dbh) and basal area (i.e. the mean amount of biomass supported per unit of forest basal area) as the structural conversion factor (SCF). Baker *et al.*, (2004b) found that variations in wood density and size class distribution have a significant influence on the SCF, and that spatial variations in SCF appeared more important than variations in basal area in determining the spatial pattern in aboveground biomass. Figure 7



**Fig. 7** The relationship between the structural conversion factor, SCF (= plot aboveground live biomass/plot basal area) and aboveground coarse wood productivity for 56 lowland Amazonian forests. Biomass and basal area values are derived from Baker *et al.* (2004), productivity values from Malhi *et al.* (2004). The least-squares linear fit (black solid line) is y = -0.90x + 13.19,  $r^2 = 0.48$ .

demonstrates that the SCF is related to wood productivity, with more productive forests having lower wood density. There is, however, some variance that is not related to wood density, and is instead influenced by size-class distribution.

Using the relationship shown in Fig. 7, we mapped the spatial variation in the SCF (D in Fig. 2) using the maps of wood productivity generated in Malhi et al. (in preparation; C in Fig. 2). Two productivity maps were used: one that was a direct kriging interpolation of the productivity data, and a second based on a 'paintingby-numbers' approach (Schimel & Potter, 1995) that assumed productivity was related to soil type (both maps are shown in Fig. 8). Details of the extrapolation of productivity data will be given in Malhi et al. (in preparation). The SCF varies by about 30%, between 9 and  $12 \text{ Mg DW m}^{-2}$  basal area. Both maps show a similar broad regional pattern with lower SCF being found in the more dynamic western Amazonian forests, and high values in north-east Amazonia. The two maps differ in smoothness and in detail. If the spatial variability is predominantly driven by soil prperties (Malhi et al., 2004), the map suggests that the highest values of SCF are found in the old, highly weathered oxisols along the main Amazon valley, and intermediate values are to be found on the crystalline shield to the north and south. The soils-based map gives spatial details but the consistency of the SCF: soil relationship and the local details of the soils map are still uncertain, so these local details should be treated as tentative. The calculations in the rest of this paper will be based in parallel on both the kriging and soils-based interpolations. The basal area and SCF show almost no spatial correlation and can be treated as independent influencing factors on biomass (the correlation coefficient between plot values of basal area and kriging-derived SCF was 0.02; between basal area and soils map-derived SCF it was -0.05).

#### Maps of biomass

Having derived maps of basal area (B in Fig. 2) and SCF (D in Fig. 2), our next step was to estimate the SCF and biomass for each plot (F and G in Fig. 2). Where plot biomass had been directly derived from the individual tree data using a consistent protocol as described by Baker *et al.* (2004b), this value was preferred. Where such directly calculated biomass was not available, we extracted an estimate of SCF for each plot from the maps in Fig. 8, and multiplied this by the reported basal area to calculate the plot biomass. These values are listed in Table A1.

We then employed three approaches to produce region-wide maps of biomass:

1. Use the allometric relationship derived for the central Amazon with no allowance for spatial variation in SCF.

- 2. Directly overlay the maps of basal area (B in Fig. 2) and the maps of the SCF (with one map each for the kriged and soil-based interpolations; D in Fig. 2) to produce a map of biomass (E in Fig. 2).
- 3. Directly interpolate the derived biomass values for each site (G in Fig. 2) to produce an alternative map of biomass (H in Fig. 2). We used the same procedure and thresholds to remove local anomalies as outlined for the basal area interpolation above.

The resulting maps of biomass are shown in Fig. 9. There are significant differences in details between the kriging-based and soils-based maps, but the overall patterns are similar. The two different routes to calculating biomass (E and H in Fig. 2) yield very similar results, with the exception that H does not factor in the relationship between basal area and dry season length. Hence, we consider Fig. 9b and c to be our best estimates of forest biomass. In general, biomass is calculated to be highest in central Amazonia and on the Guyana coast. This represents an optimum combination of high basal area (related to short dry season length) and high wood density (related to low productivity and probably to infertile soils). As we head to aseasonal northwestern Amazonia, basal area increases but is offset by the increasing abundance of low wood density species. Heading towards the dry southern and northern margins, wood density is moderately high, but basal area drops off because of limited water availability. The coastal areas of Brazil and the Guyanas also appear to have high biomass, a combination of the high basal area sustained by oceanic front rainfall, and high wood density on infertile soils. Comparing the soilsbased map (9c) with the kriging map (9b), the soils map suggests that the high wood density zone may extend further northwest into the infertile soils of lowland Colombia and Venezuela, and snake east along the lowland corridor bordering the Amazon river, but the broad patterns are similar. Overall, regional mean biomass over the forest area of  $5.76 \times 10^6 \text{ km}^2$  varies between 250 and  $350 \text{ Mg DW ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ . The mean value reported by Baker et al. (2004b) was 298 ( $\pm$ 51) Mg DW  $ha^{-1}$ , suggesting that the core dataset used by Baker et al. (2004b) was well distributed.

The per hectare and total carbon stocks (over area  $5.76 \times 10^6 \text{ km}^2$ ) for the lowland Amazonian forests calculated by the different approaches are tabulated in Table 2. The most apparent feature is that incorporation of spatial variability in the SCF reduces the estimated total carbon stocks by about 8% from 92 to 83–86 Pg C (top row vs. bottom two rows). This is because the default allometric relationships used are based on studies in the central Amazon near Manaus, a region shown in Fig. 9 to have among the highest biomass



**Fig. 8** Interpolation of the structural conversion factor across lowland South American tropical forests derived using the linear relationship presented in Fig. 7 with (a) a map of aboveground coarse wood productivity (AGCWP) interpolated by ordinary kriging, and (b) a map of AGCWP based on soil categories.



**Fig. 9** Interpolations of biomass of lowland South American tropical forests: (a) calculated by interpolating biomass estimates generated at each plot (with 25 local anomalous plots removed using a search radius of 250 km) using inverse distance weighting (= Box H in Fig. 2); (b) calculated by overlaying the best basal area estimate (Fig. 6) with maps of the structure and wood density function (Fig. 8), using kriged interpolations for both maps (= Box E in Fig. 2); (c) as for (b) but using soils-based interpolations instead of kriged interpolations.

values in Amazonia. The particular method of spatial interpolation used has little effect on estimates of total biomass: the soils-based interpolations (bottom row) tend to give a value 2–3% lower because they suggest that poorly sampled regions of the Amazonian crystal-line shield may have high fertility and lower wood density than simple kriging of the existing plot data would suggest.

The values cited here are for aboveground woody biomass of all live trees > 10 cm dbh. To arrive at total biomass carbon stocks we need to include a number of extra terms, such as the biomass of trees <10 cm dbh, the biomass of lianas, dead biomass, and belowground carbon. These extra terms have been estimated for some forest plot sites, but as their spatial variation is unclear we do not attempt to map their spatial variability, but rather include mean values as multiplicative factors to arrive at estimates of total biomass carbon stocks. To be consistent with Phillips et al. (1998), we estimate the biomass of trees less <10 cm to be an additional 6.2%, based on forest plots in the Manaus region, and the biomass of lianas to be 3.7% of total aboveground tree biomass, based on several plots in western Amazonia. Dead wood biomass has been estimated at a number of sites and ranges between  $6.4\pm1.6\,Mg\,C\,ha^{-1}$  over 10 ha in southern Peru (Baker et al., submitted) and 25- $30 \,\mathrm{Mg}\,\mathrm{C}\,\mathrm{ha}^{-1}$  at two sites in eastern Amazonia (Keller et al., 2004; Rice et al., 2004), and Houghton et al. (2001) report a mean value of 10% of live biomass, the value that is applied here. Belowground biomass has been measured at only a few sites, and Houghton et al. (2001) report a mean value of 21% (range 13-26%) of aboveground live tree biomass; that value is applied here. Applying these approximate multiplicative factors uniformly to our previous estimate of aboveground live woody biomass (83-86 Pg C), we estimate that the total aboveground live woody biomass is about 91–95 Pg C, the total aboveground woody biomass is about 100-104 Pg C, and the total woody biomass is 121–126 Pg C.

# Discussion

Using data from 227 forest plots, we have explored the spatial variation of aboveground live biomass in Amazonia, with particular emphasis on accounting for variations in basal area and wood density. Although there is substantial site-to-site variability, we were able to determine the somewhat opposing trends in these two factors, both of which are important determinants of AGLB. Wood density tends to peak in the slow growing forests on infertile soils in eastern lowland Amazonia and the Guyanas, and is lowest in the much more dynamic forests of western Amazonia. Basal area generally declines with increasing dry season length, for

regions with a 4 months or longer dry season. The superposition of these two factors indicates that biomass is highest in central Amazonia and the Guyanas, and is about 15% lower in the more dynamic west, and lowest in the dry fringes to the south and north.

The estimates of aboveground live biomass were based on two parameters: basal area and structural conversion factor. These two parameters seem fairly independent at regional scales – basal area is related to hydraulic considerations and hence to dry season length, whereas the structural conversion factor is related to productivity and hence probably to soil fertility (Malhi *et al.*, 2004). The combination of these two factors leads to maximum biomass is wet regions with low wood productivities and infertile soils, such as central Amazonia and the Guyana coast, and lower biomass in dynamic western Amazonia, and the dry southern and northern fringes.

A number of the removed 'anomalous plots' show evidently unusual properties (e.g. the liana-dominated forests at CHO-1 CHO-2, XIN-01 and XIN-02, the bamboo-dominated forests RES-06 and CAM-02, fireaffected forest at NKT-01 and NKT-02, the gallery forests NKE-02, NKG-01). While these obviously influence regional analyses of biomass, it is not surprising that the ecological and/or historical factors that cause their unusual properties are not captured in this broad analysis. Other forest plots are suspected of being subject to a majestic forest sampling bias where the original investigators deliberately selected high biomass stands (e.g. BEN-5, BEN-10, BEN-9: 'contains one of the last remaining stands of Swietenia macrophylla in the reserve reaching seven trees per hectare'). Others may be recently affected by a local natural disturbance (BDF-04 suffered recent high mortality from a La Niñarelated flooding event). A number of the anomalous sites have no obvious explanation, which may reflect the random influence of a single very large tree within the sample plot, or our ignorance of detailed sampling methodologies, or else additional factors that are not considered in this analysis.

# Uncertainties

Tree height allometry. Probably the most important factor that has not been included here is spatial variability in height allometry, which would be expected to show a similar pattern to basal area and decrease with increasing dry season length as hydraulic constraints on tree height become more severe. Hence, biomass would drop off more rapidly at the dry extremes. However, it is not clear whether height allometry shows any variation under moderate dry season conditions, and hence, whether the central Amazonian peak in biomass would be shifted towards more aseasonal regions.

The relationship between wood density and allometry. In adopting the approach of Baker et al. (2004b), we are assuming that allometries can be scaled linearly by wood density. It is plausible that low wood density trees have different architecture than high wood density trees. However, in their comprehensive assessment of tree allometry of 2410 trees, Chave et al. (2005) found that their null hypothesis of a linear relationship between wood density and aboveground biomass was not rejected, suggesting there is little evidence of a nonlinear scaling by wood density. Moreover, Chave et al. (2005) found no significant difference in allometries from South American and South-East Asian forests (constructed by lumping all species), despite the fact that these forests share almost no common genera, and very different dominant families. This again suggests no significant allometric differences between major tree dicot families.

*Biased land-form selection.* There may be some biases in site selection in our plot network (e.g. plateaux are favoured over steep slopes, accessible flood plains are favoured over 'interior' forests). In the soils-based interpolation, we try to account for landform using by including the various 'facets' in the Cochrane and FAO maps (e.g. if the map described a land form as 90% plateau, 10% river valley, these are assigned different values). Given that there is little difference between the soils-based and kriging interpolations in *total* biomass, it is unlikely that our estimate in total biomass will be strongly affected by this bias, although the details of regional patterns may vary. A remote sensing analysis to evaluate the landscape context of a number of the RAINFOR plots is currently under way.

Biased sampling of disturbance-recovery dynamics. Old growth tropical forests have a natural disturbancerecovery dynamic (e.g. sites are hit by occasional large tree falls/blowdowns, followed by slow recovery in biomass until the next infrequent disturbance). In setting up forest plots, it is possible that sites that recently underwent strong natural disturbance (e.g. storm blowdowns) were avoided. The would lead to an overestimate of the background biomass of oldgrowth forest biomass. The magnitude of such a bias is likely to be small, but is difficult to quantify and requires detailed exploration of the disturbancerecovery dynamics of Amazonian forests.

The effect of wrinkled topography. Most of the forest plots are established in terms of a fixed ground area. In

regions of significant slopes or undulating topography, the biomass per unit area of the Earth's surface could be significantly higher. This 'wrinkled topography' effect would increase biomass estimates in geomorphological transition zones such as the periphery of the Andes, and the Brazilian and Guyanan shields. It should be straightforward to estimate this effect with highresolution digital elevation data.

# Quantification of uncertainty in region-wide totals

The above sources of uncertainties in our biomass totals can be classified into two categories (Chave *et al.*, 2004): sampling uncertainties caused by partial sampling of a landscape that is heterogeneous at many scales, and systematic biases caused by errors in methodology of biomass measurement or analysis, such as incorrect allometric equations. Stochastic sampling uncertainties in our estimates of biomass are likely to be smaller when considering basin-wide totals, as opposed to accurate prediction of biomass at specific sites. Table 2 gives us some insight into the likely sensitivity of basinwide totals to sampling uncertainties:

- (i) The total area of forest sampled (excluding the 50 ha Panama site) is 366.1 ha, which can be divided into approximately seven regions of 50 ha each. The standard error of mean basal area over the entire dataset is about 1% (over each region it is about 4%). With a normal distribution, the 95% confidence limits would be 2% and 8%, respectively. This is consistent with the findings of Keller et al. (2001) and Chave et al. (2003), who reported that approximately ten 1 ha plots are required to bring 95% confidence limits within 20%, and 26 ha to bring these limits within 10%. Assuming welldistributed sampling, the random sampling uncertainty in basin-wide and regional basal area estimates would be 2% and 8%, respectively (95% confidence).
- (ii) Uncertainty in the use of allometric models contributes a systematic uncertainty of about 13% (Chave *et al.*, 2005).
- (iii) Imposition of the SCF reduces estimates of total regional biomass by 7%; the variation in assumption about the exact distribution of SCF causes a systematic bias of about 3% in final biomass (rows in Table 2b).
- (iv) Similarly, removal of outliers, and comparison with the mean of the sample plots has only modest influence on basin-wide totals, of order 3% (columns in Table 3b). This suggests that the spatial sampling bias contributes an uncertainty less than 5% in basin-wide totals.

## 14 Y. MALHI et al.

**Table 2** The aboveground live biomass of trees >10 cm diameter for all lowland Amazonian forests, as calculated by different interpolation procedures: (a) mean dry-weight per hectare (Mg DW ha<sup>-1</sup>); (b) summed over the forest area and converted to carbon units (Pg C). The columns correspond to (left to right): (i) the overlay of the basal area interpolation (with 28 outliers removed) with the structural conversion factor; (ii) direct interpolation of plot biomass estimates with no outliers removed; (iii) direct interpolation of plot biomass estimates with 28 outliers removed

	Biomass calculated fr	Biomass values at individual pl then interpolate	ots* and
	the BA interpolation l on DSL (Mg DW $ha^{-1}$	based No plots remov	ed Plots removed (Mg DW ha <sup>-1</sup> )
(a)			
ASSUMPTION SCF	Bion		
None	320	Х	Х
Derived from ordinary kriging of AGCWP	298	297	297
Derived from soil type classification of AGCWP	289	289	291
	Biomass calculated from the BA interpolation	Biomass values calculated plots* and then interpolate	
	based on DSL (Pg C)	No plots removed (Pg C)	Plots removed (Pg C)
(b)			
ASSUMPTION SCF			
None	92.4	Х	Х
Derived from ordinary kriging of AGCWP	85.8	85.5	85.7
Derived from soil type classification of AGCWP	82.9	83.3	83.8

The rows correspond to different assumptions about the variation of SCF (top to bottom): (i) SCF fixed at values for central Amazonia; (ii) kriged interpolation of SCF (Fig. 8a); (iii) soils-based interpolation of SCF (Fig. 8b).

# (v) Other uncertainties listed above are currently more difficult to quantify, but in total they are unlikely to exceed 10%.

If all these uncertainties were strongly correlated, the total uncertainty in biomass estimates would be about 35%, if they were independent the total uncertainty would be 18%. Hence, 25% is a conservative estimate of uncertainty in basin-wide biomass totals, with systematic uncertainty in allometric relationships being the biggest contributing factor. Applying an uncertainty of 25% to our previous calculations, we estimate that the total aboveground live woody biomass is  $93 \pm 23 \text{ Pg C}$ , the total aboveground woody biomass is  $123 \pm 31 \text{ Pg C}$ .

# Comparison with previous estimates for Brazilian Amazonia

How does our estimate of the spatial patterns and total biomass of Amazonian forests compare with previous estimates? Houghton *et al.* (2001) compared a variety of

maps of biomass for the Brazilian Amazon only. From our study mean biomass values were extracted from Fig. 9 for forested regions of the Brazilian Amazon only (area  $3.60 \times 10^6 \text{ km}^2$  compared with total Amazonian forest area  $5.76 \times 10^6 \text{ km}^2$ ), and these are presented in Table 3 for comparison with various values reported by (Houghton *et al.* (2001). For compatibility, the 30% correction that Houghton *et al.* applied for dead wood and below-ground biomass has been removed, (i.e. we are considering aboveground live biomass only). A 10.1% correction for trees <10 cm dbh and lianas has been retained for our analysis to be compatible with Phillips *et al.*(1998). For the field measurements summarized by Houghton *et al.* (2001), it was not clear which data sets included small trees and lianas, and which did not.

Allowing for regional variations in basal area and SCF, we calculate the mean AGL biomass of Brazil Amazonian moist forests to be about  $160 \text{ Mg C ha}^{-1}$  (6–10% lower than if a central Amazonian structure factor had been uniformly applied), with a 25% uncertainty as discussed above. This is close to the value of 148 Mg C ha<sup>-1</sup> that Houghton *et al.* (2001) extrapolated from 44 sites. Many of these sites did not account for

	Biomass calculated from	Biomass values calcu at individual plots an then interpolated usin	ıd
	the BA interpolation based on DSL (Mg $C$ ha <sup>-1</sup> )	No plots removed $(MgCha^{-1})$	Plots removed (Mg C ha <sup>-1</sup> )
(a)			
ASSUMPTION SCF			
None	175	Х	Х
Derived from ordinary kriging of AGCWP	164	163	163
Derived from soil type classification of AGCWP	158	157	159

**Table 3** (a) The mean above-ground live biomass of trees in lowland forests in Brazilian Amazonia only, including a 10.1% correctionfactor for small trees and lianas

		Above-Ground Live Biomas	S
Study	Total Biomass (Mg C ha <sup><math>-1</math></sup> )	No small trees (Mg C ha <sup><math>-1</math></sup> )	With small trees (Mg C ha <sup><math>-1</math></sup> )
(b)			
Houghton interpolation of 44 points	192	148	163
RADAMBRASIL (Brown et al., 2002)	156	120	132
RADAMBRASIL (Fearnside, 1997)	232	141	155
Brown (calibrated with 39 of 44 points)	183	141	155
Brown (calibrated with forest surveys)	196	151	166
Brown (calibrated with areas $>0.5$ ha)	197	152	167
Olson	100	77	85
Potter	196	151	166
DeFries	178	137	151
This study		143–149	157–164

For comparison reasons, values are presented in carbon units Mg C ha<sup>-1</sup>. Columns and rows describe different analysis procedures as in Table 2. (b) The total biomass and above-ground live biomass of trees in Brazilian Amazonia, for various studies summarised by Houghton *et al.* 2001, in Mg C ha<sup>-1</sup>. The last column includes a 10.1% correction for small trees and lianas, as explained in the text.

small stems or lianas – once this 10.1% correction is applied to the Houghton *et al.* (2001) estimate the two values are very similar. In spatial detail the Houghton *et al.* (2001) extrapolation picks out some of the broad features that are confirmed with greater confidence in our (more data-rich) estimate: high biomass in the central Amazon and low values at the dry fringes.

Houghton *et al.* also report estimates of Brazilian Amazon biomass from a number of other field and model studies. These are compared briefly with our estimates:

*Estimates derived from RADAMBRASIL.* The RADAMBRASIL project (DNPM, 1973–1983) made an inventory of stemwood volumes on thousands of 1 ha old-growth forest plots across Brazilian Amazonia, measuring stems > 31.8 cm dbh, providing the most spatially intensive and systematic Amazonian forest inventory to date, albeit constrained by sampling only medium and large trees. Brown & Lugo, (1992) and Fearnside, (1997) used standard structure factors to

convert to these data to biomass with a uniform wood density of  $0.69 \,\mathrm{g}\,\mathrm{m}^{-2}$ . The two studies differed in that Fearnside tried to include additional terms, such as small trees <10 cm dbh (+12%), lianas (+5.3%), palms (+2.4%), hollow trees (-6.6%) and bark (-0.9%). In addition, Fearnside also estimated high values for belowground biomass (33.6% of AGL biomass) and dead biomass (31% of AGL biomass), leading to estimates of total forest biomass some 60% higher than those of Brown and Lugo. These total biomass values seem at the high end of the range of values reported from field studies, but for belowground biomass do take into account aspects that are frequently neglected, such as below-ground boles and tap roots. Considering AGL biomass alone, Fearnside arrives at a mean value for Brazilian Amazonia of 141 Mg C ha<sup>-1</sup>, a value close to that reported here, compared with  $120 \text{ Mg C ha}^{-1}$  by Brown & Lugo (1992).

One noticeable feature is that the RADAMBRASIL based maps do not indicate the peak in biomass in

# 16 Y. MALHI et al.

central Amazonia as strongly the present study, although there is some indication of lower biomass at the dry fringes. One possible reason for the attenuation of this trend is that the RADAMBRASIL survey sampled only medium and large trees (>31.8 cm dbh). Although total basal area appears to decline with increasing dry season length (and this is also consistent with physiological water-use principles), mean tree size increases with dry season length (Malhi *et al.*, 2002) (i.e. the decline in basal area is disproportionately in small trees, which were not sampled by RADAMBRASIL).

Brown and Lugo estimates. Brown and colleagues have advanced a method for estimating potential biomass of tropical forest lands that takes into account variation in four environmental parameters: soil depth, texture, elevation and slope. Details of the approach are given in Houghton *et al.* (2001), but in summary the approach was calibrated off either 39 sites (mainly of area <0.5 ha), or six large FAO inventories, or 16 sites where the area sampled was greater than 0.5 ha. The three approaches yield a value of AGLB in Brazilian Amazonia between 141 and 152 Mg C ha<sup>-1</sup>. These extrapolations indicated highest biomass in western Amazonia, in disagreement with the present study, but are based on very few points.

The classic study by Olson *et al.* (1983) of biomass for 44 terrestrial ecosystems yields estimates half the size of all other reported values, and are likely to be in error. *The NASA-CASA model* (Potter, 1999) yields a mean value of AGLB in Brazilian Amazonia of 151 Mg  $Cha^{-1}$ , but with biomass increasing in drier regions, the opposite of what is observed. The mechanism for this discrepancy clearly requires further investigation, but is likely to be related to the calculation of wood productivity and residence time.

## **Conclusions: Uncertainties and Future Directions**

We have explored the spatial variation of aboveground live biomass in old-growth Amazonian forests, with particular emphasis on accounting for variations in basal area and wood density. Our estimates covered all of Amazonia, but we were able to compare the results from Brazilian Amazonia with previous estimates, which are based either on a smaller number of inventories, or on the extensive but less complete RADAMBRASIL inventories, or on modelling and satellite-derived studies. Although our estimates were comparable in mean values with most previous estimates, they often differed in the spatial distribution of biomass. These discrepancies can be explained by:

- (i) the greater size of the data set presented here compared with other small-plot-based extrapolations, which enabled clearer definition of trends in basal area;
- (ii) accounting for wood density and its relationship with forest dynamism, which enabled tracking of the decline in biomass to the west;
- (iii) accounting for trees >10 cm and <31.8 cm dbh (in contrast to the RADAMBRASIL surveys), which indicated a decline in basal area at the dry fringes.

Two hundred and twenty-six plots is still a small number compared with the extent of Amazonia, and the details of the maps presented in Fig. 9 are likely to be modified as data sets expand. The main intent of this paper is to identify principles that need to be accounted for in future estimates of biomass:

- (1) Forest basal area in relatively invariant at about  $30 \text{ m}^2 \text{ha}^{-1}$  at regional scales in moist Amazonian forests, but declines in drier areas.
- (2) This regional-scale invariance in mean basal area occurs despite a threefold variation in regional wood productivity (Malhi *et al.*, 2004).
- (3) K-r tradeoffs between high wood density, longliving species and low wood density, short-lifetime species lead to a regional variation in wood density that significantly affects regional patterns of biomass. Hence, ecological interactions, that are not incorporated in current biogeochemical approaches to estimating forest carbon stocks, are important determinants of forest biomass.
- (4) The trends in basal area and wood density have somewhat opposite directions, resulting in the highest forest biomass regions occurring in central Amazonia and the Guyana coast.
- (5) There is no simple correlation between biomass and wood productivity, and the two should not be confounded.

Uncertainties that remain include the following issues:

- (i) The variation of tree height (and hence wood volume) with environmental factors is poorly described. This could be tackled through both field surveys and remote sensing approaches (e.g. lidar altimetry)
- (ii) We have produced two 'final' maps of biomass, reflecting that the apparent relationship between wood density and soil fertility is still tentative. There is a clear need to explore the relationship in more detail with improved soil data sets, such as those recently collected by the RAINFOR project. If soil fertility is indeed the controlling factor for wood productivity and hence density, an obvious next step is the identification of which soil fertility

parameters are key (e.g. soil texture, phosphorus availability, pH, cation exchange) and mapping of their spatial variation.

- (iii) There are major gap regions in the dataset, such as the southern dry margins of Amazonia, the crystalline shield regions, and much of Colombia. These need to be filled, through further 'mining' and compilation of existing datasets, or targeted field studies.
- (iv) The approach we apply explores regional-scale variation in biomass, but only weakly addresses landscape scale variation (variation of wood density with landform facet is accounted for, but variation in basal area is not). Local variation clearly dominates estimates of basal area at the hectare scale. It should be also possible to account for landscape-scale variation (e.g. slope, soil depth), perhaps using an approach similar to (Brown & Gaston, 1995).
- (v) We have generated a map of biomass of old-growth forests as an upper envelope of estimates of biomass of the complete Amazonian forest disturbance mosaic. To arrive at a map of actual forest biomass, it is necessary for data on secondary forests, logged forests, and natural disturbancerecovery dynamics, be superimposed on this map to arrive at estimates of actual forests biomass.

An obvious next step is to combine the ecological insights presented here with the wealth of new remote sensing information and analyses becoming available, to conduct extrapolations that explicitly include remotely-sensed information on landscape context, forest structure, and forest disturbance.

#### Acknowledgements

We thank Ailsa Allen for her assistance with figure preparation. Development of the RAINFOR network, 2000-2002, was funded by the European Union Fifth Framework Programme, as part of CARBONCYCLE-LBA, part of the European contribution to the Large Scale Biosphere-Atmosphere Experiment in Amazonia (LBA). RAINFOR field campaigns were funded by the National Geographic Society (Peru 2001), CARBONCYCLE-LBA (Bolivia 2001) and the Max Planck Institut für Biogeochemie (Peru, Bolivia 2001, Ecuador, Brazil 2002, Brazil 2003). We gratefully acknowledge the support and funding of numerous organisations who have contributed to the establishment and maintenance of individual sites: in Bolivia, U.S. National Science Foundation, The Nature Conservancy/Mellon Foundation (Ecosystem Function Program); in Brazil (SA) Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq), Museu Goeldi, Estação Científica Ferreira Penna, Tropical Ecology, Assessment and Monitoring (TEAM) Initiative; in Brazil (SGL, WFL), NASA-LBA, Andrew W. Mellon Foundation, U.S. Agency for International Development, Smithsonian Institution; in Ecuador, Fundación Jatun Sacha, Estación Cientíica Yasuni de la Pontificia Universidad Católica del Ecuador, Estación de Biodiversidad Tiputini; in Peru, Natural Environment Research Council, National Geographic Society, National Science Foundation, WWF-U.S./Garden Club of America, Conservation International, MacArthur Foundation, Andrew W. Mellon Foundation, ACEER, Albergue Cuzco Amazonico, Explorama Tours S.A., Explorers Inn, IIAP, INRENA, UNAP and UNSAAC. Yadvinder Malhi gratefully acknowledges the generous support of a Royal Society University Research Fellowship.

#### References

- Achard F, Eva HD, Mayaux P *et al.* (2004) Improved estimates of net carbon emissions from land cover change in the tropics for the 1990s. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, **18**: art. no.-GB2008.
- Achard F, Eva HD, Stibig HJ et al. (2002) Determination of deforestation rates of the world's humid tropical forests. *Science*, 297, 999–1002.
- van Andel T (2001) Floristic composition and diversity of mixed primary and secondary forest in northwest Guyana. *Biodiversity And Conservation*, **10**, 1645–1682.
- Baker TR, Honorio E, Phillips OL *et al.* (submitted) Low stocks and rapid fluxes of coarse woody debris in southwestern Amazon forests. *Oecologia*.
- Baker TR, Phillips OL, Malhi Y et al. (2004a) Increasing biomass in Amazonian forest plots. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London Series B - Biological Sciences*, **359**, 353–365.
- Baker TR, Phillips OL, Malhi Y et al. (2004b) Variation in wood density determines spatial patterns in Amazonian forest biomass. *Global Change Biology*, **10**, 545–562.
- Balee W, Campbell DG (1990) Evidence for the successional status of liana forest (Xingu river basin, Amazonian Brazil). *Biotropica*, 22, 36–47.
- Brown S, Gaston G (1995) Use of forest inventories and geographic information systems to estimate biomass density of tropical forests: application to tropical Africa. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, **38**, 157–168.
- Brown S, Lugo AE (1992) Aboveground biomass estimates for Tropical Moist Forests of the Brazilian Amazon. *Interciencia*, **17**, 8–18.
- Brown IF, Martineli LA, Thomas WW *et al.* (1995) Uncertainty in the biomass of Amazonian forests – an example from Rondonia, Brazil. *Forest Ecology And Management*, **75**, 175–189.
- Campbell DG, Daly DC, Prance GT *et al.* (1986) Quantitative ecological inventory of terra firme and varzea tropical forest on the Rio Xingu, Brazilian Amazon. *Brittonia*, 369–393.
- Castellanos HG (1998) Floristic composition and structure, tree diversity, and the relationship between floristic distribution and soil factors in El Caura Forest Reserve, southern Venezuela. In: *Forest biodiversity in North, Central and South America, and the Caribbean. Research and Monitoring.* MAB Series Vol. 21 (eds Dallmeier F, Comiskey JA), pp. 507–533. UNESCO, Paris.
- Chave J, Brown ACS, Cairns MA *et al*. (2005) Tree allometry and improved estimation of carbon stocks and balance in tropical forests, *Oecologia*, **145**, 87–99.
- Chave J, Condit R, Aguilar S et al. (2004) Error propagation and scaling for tropical forest biomass estimates. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London Series B-Biological Sciences*, 359, 409–420.

## **18** Y. MALHI et al.

- Chave J, Condit R, Lao S *et al.* (2003) Spatial and temporal variation of biomass in a tropical forest: results from a large census plot in Panama. *Journal of Ecology*, **91**, 240–252.
- Chave J, Riera B, Dubois MA (2001) Estimation of biomass in a neotropical forest of French Guiana: spatial and temporal variability. *Journal Of Tropical Ecology*, **17**, 79–96.
- Comiskey JA, Aymard G, Dallmeier F (1994) Structure and composition of lowland mixed forest in the Kwakwani region of Guyana. *Biottonia*, **10**, 13–28.
- Comiskey JA, Campbell P, Alonso A et al. (2001) Vegetation assessment of the lower urubamba region, Peru. In: *Biodiversity of the Lower Urubamba Region, Peru.* SIMAB Series 7, (eds Dallmeier F, Alonso A, Campbell P), Smithsonian Inistitution/ MAB Biodiversity Program, Washington, DC.
- DeFries RS, Houghton RA, Hansen MC *et al.* (2002) Carbon emissions from tropical deforestation and regrowth based on satellite observations for the 1980s and 1990s. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, **99**, 14256–14261.
- DeWalt SJ, Chave J (2004) Structure and biomass of four lowland Neotropical forests. *Biotropica*, **36**, 7–19.
- DNPM (1973–1983) Projeto RADAMBRASIL: Levantamento de Recursos Naturais, Vols 1–23 Rio de Janeiro, Miniserio das Minas e Energia, Departamento Nacional de Producao Mineral.
- Eva HD, Belward AS, De Miranda EE et al. (2004) A land cover map of South America. Global Change Biology, 10, 731–744.
- FAO (2001) Global Forest Resources Assessment. FAO Forestry Paper 140.
- Fearnside PM (1997) Greenhouse gases from deforestation in Brazilian Amazonia: Net committed emissions. *Climatic Change*, 35, 321–360.
- Ferreira LV, Prance GT (1998) Species richness and floristic composition four hectares in the Jaú National Park in upland forests in Central Amazonia. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 7, 1349–1364.
- Groombridge B, Jenkins MD (2003) *World Atlas of Biodiversity.* University of California Press, Berkeley, California, USA.
- Houghton RA, Lawrence KT, Hackler JL *et al.* (2001) The spatial distribution of forest biomass in the Brazilian Amazon: a comparison of estimates. *Global Change Biology*, 7, 731–746.
- Johnston M, Gillman M (1995) Tree population studies in lowdiversity forests, Guyana. I. Floristic composition and stand structure. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 4, 339–362.
- Keller M, Palace M, Asner GP *et al.* (2004) Coarse woody debris in undisturbed and logged forests in the eastern Brazilian Amazon. *Global Change Biology*, **10**, 784–795.
- Keller M, Palace M, Hurtt G (2001) Biomass estimation in the Tapajos National Forest, Brazil – examination of sampling and allometric uncertainties. *Forest Ecology and Management*, **154**, 371–382.
- Korning J, Balslev H (1994) Growth and mortality of trees in Amazonian tropical rain forest in Ecuador. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, **4**, 77–86.
- Laurance WF, Fearnside PM, Laurance SG *et al.* (1999) Relationship between soils and Amazon forest biomass: a landscapescale study. *Forest Ecology and Management*, **118**, 127–138.

- Laurance WF, Laurance SG, Ferreira LV *et al.* (1997) Biomass collapse in Amazonian forest fragments. *Science*, **278**, 1117–1118.
- Laurance WF, Oliveira AA, Laurance SG *et al.* (2004) Pervasive alteration of tree communities in undisturbed Amazonian forests. *Nature*, **428**, 171–175.
- Lewis SL, Malhi Y, Phillips OL (2004) Fingerprinting the impacts of global change on tropical forests. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London Series B - Biological Sciences*, **359**, 437–462.
- Malhi Y, Baker TR, Phillips OL *et al.* (2004) The above-ground coarse wood productivity of 104 Neotropical forest plots. *Global Change Biology*, **10**, 563–591.
- Malhi Y, Grace J (2000) Tropical forests and atmospheric carbon dioxide. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, **15**, 332–337.
- Malhi Y, Phillips OL, Lloyd J *et al.* (2002) An international network to monitor the structure, composition and dynamics of Amazonian forests (RAINFOR). *Journal of Vegetation Science*, **13**, 439–450.
- Malhi Y, Wood D, Baker TA *et al*. The productivity and carbon turnover of Amazon forest biomass, in preparation.
- Meinzer FC, Andrade JL, Goldstein G *et al.* (1999) Partitioning of soil water among canopy trees in a seasonally dry tropical forest. *Oecologia*, **121**, 293–301.
- Meinzer FC, Clearwater MJ, Goldstein G (2001) Water transport in trees: current perspectives, new insights and some controversies. *Environmental and Experimental Botany*, 45, 239–262.
- Milliken W (1998) Structure and composition of one hectare of central Amazonian terra firme forest. *Biotropica*, **30**, 530–537.
- Mori SA, Rabelo BV, Tsou C-H et al. (1989) Composition and structure of an eastern Amazonian forest at Camaipi, Amapá, Brazil. Bol. Mus. Paraense Hist. Nat., 5, 3–18.
- Nebel G, Kvist LP, Vanclay JK *et al.* (2001) Structure and floristic composition of flood plain forests in the Peruvian Amazon. I Overstorey. *Forest Ecology and Management*, **150**, 27–57.
- Nepstad DC, Verissimo A, Alencar A *et al.* (1999) Large-scale impoverishment of Amazonian forests by logging and fire. *Nature*, **398**, 505–508.
- Olson JS, Watts JA, Allison L (1983) *Carbon in Live Vegetation of Major World Ecosystems.* US Department of Energy, Washington, DC.
- Peres CA (1994) Composition, density, and fruiting phenology of arborescent palms in an Amazonian terra-firme forest. *Biotropca*, 26, 285–294.
- Phillips OL, Baker TR, Arroyo L *et al.* (2004) Pattern and process in Amazon tree turnover, 1976–2001. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London Series B - Biological Sciences*, **359**, 381–407.
- Phillips OL, Gentry AH (1994) Increasing turnover through time in Tropical Forests. *Science*, 263, 954–958.
- Phillips OL, Malhi Y, Higuchi N et al. (1998) Changes in the carbon balance of tropical forests: evidence from long-term plots. Science, 282, 439–442.
- Phillips OL, Martinez RV, Arroyo L *et al.* (2002) Increasing dominance of large lianas in Amazonian forests. *Nature*, **418**, 770–774.
- Pires JM, Salomão RP (2000) Dinâmica da diversidade arbórea de um fragmento de floresta tropical primária na Amazônia

oriental – 1. Período: 1956 a 1992. Boletim do Museu Paraense Emília Goeldi, Série Botanica, **16**, 63–110.

- Pitman NCA, Terborgh JW, Silman MR *et al.* (2001) Dominance and distribution of tree species in upper Amazonian terra firme forests. *Ecology*, **82**, 2101–2117.
- Potter C, Genovese VB, Klooster S *et al.* (2001) Biomass burning losses of carbon estimated from ecosystem modeling and satellite data analysis for the Brazilian Amazon region. *Atmospheric Environment*, **35**, 1773–1781.
- Potter CS (1999) Terrestrial biomass and the effects of deforestation on the global carbon cycle – results from a model of primary production using satellite observations. *Bioscience*, **49**, 769–778.
- Prance GT, Rodrigues WA, da Silva MF (1976) Inventario florestal de um hectare de mata de terra firma, Km 30 da Estrada Manaus-Itacoatiara. *Acta Amazonica*, **6**, 9–35.
- Rice AH, Pyle EH, Saleska SR *et al.* (2004) Carbon balance and vegetation dynamics in an old-growth Amazonian forest. *Ecological Applications*, **14**, S55–S71.
- Roy R, Saugier B, Mooney HA (2001) *Terrestrial Global Productivity.* Academic Press, San Diego.
- Salomão RP, Silva MFF, Lisboa PL (1988) Inventario ecológico em floresta pluvial tropical de terra firme, Serra Norte, Carajás, Pará. Boletim do Museu Paraense Emílio Goeldi Serie Botânica, 1–46.
- Schimel D, Potter C (1995) Process modelling and spatial extrapolation. In: Biogenic Trace Gases: Exchange Between Soils, Sediments, Aquatic Systems and the Atmosphere (eds Matson PA, Harriss RC), Blackwell Science, Oxford.
- de Silva ALL, Lisboa PLB, Maciel UN (1992) Diversidade florística e estructura em floresta densa da bacia do Rio Juruá-AM. Boletim do Museu Paraense Emílio Goeldi. *Botânica*, **8**, 203–258.

- Silva MFF, Rosa NA, Oliveira J (1987) Estudos botânicos na área do projecto Ferro Carajás. 5. Aspectos florísticos da mata do Rio Gelado, Pará. Boletim do Museu Paraense Emilio Goeldi. Serie Botânica, 3, 1–20.
- Silva MFF, Rosa NA, Salomão RP (1986) Estudos botanicos na área do projecto Ferro Carajás. 3. Aspectos florísticos da mata do aeroporto de Serra Norte – PA. Boletim do Museu Paraense Emilio Goeldi. Serie Botanica, 2, 169–187.
- Smith DN, Killeen TJ (1998) A comparison of the structure and composition of montane and lowland tropical forest in the Serrania Pilón, Beni, Bolivia. In: Forest biodiversity in North, Central and South America, and the Caribbean. Research and monitoring. MAB Series Vol. 21 (eds Dallmeier F, Comiskey JA), pp. 681–700. UNESCO, Paris.
- Sombroek W (2000) Amazon landforms and soils in relation to biological diversity. *Acta Amazonica*, **30**, 81–100.
- Spichiger R, Loizeau P-A, Latour C *et al.* (1996) Tree species richness of a South-western Amazonian forest (Jenaro Herrera, Peru, 73°40′W/ 4°54′S). *Candollea*, **51**, 559–577.
- Terborgh J, Andresen E (1998) The composition of Amazonian forests: patterns at local and regional scales. *Journal of Tropical Ecology*, **14**, 645–664.
- Uhl C, Mvuphy PG (1981) Composition, structure, and regeneration of tierra firme forest in the Amazon basin of Venezuela. *Tropical Biology*, **22**, 219–237.
- Veillon JP (1985) El crecimiento de algunos bosques naturales de Venezuela en relación con los parametros del medio ambiente. *Revista Forestel Venezolana*, 29, 5–119.
- de Walt SJ, Bourdy G, Chavez de Michel LR *et al.* (1999) Ethnobotany of the Tacana. Quantitative inventories of two permanent plots of northwestern Bolivia. *Economic Botany*, **53**, 237–260.

Flow         Table												Mean		Mean	Mean	
interplayed							Eleva-		Plot	Basal	Biomass <sup>+</sup>	number of months		monthly tempera-	monthly solar	ENSO- rainfall
me         Mot Code         Controp (decimal) (decimal) (decimal) (m)         Type         (h)         (m)         (m) </th <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>Latitude</th> <th>Longitude</th> <th>tion</th> <th>Forest</th> <th>Size</th> <th>area</th> <th>(Mg DW</th> <th>&lt;100 mm</th> <th></th> <th>ture</th> <th><math>(M) m^{-2}</math></th> <th>correla-</th>					Latitude	Longitude	tion	Forest	Size	area	(Mg DW	<100 mm		ture	$(M) m^{-2}$	correla-
$(k_{1})$ AMA01         Arroyo Kalteen         Bolish         -163         -619         300         Terra time         6.2         8.00         86674/180-9         7.67         102         2.48         18.6           Rio         AMB01         Arroyo Kalteen         Bolish         -173         -64.7         300         Terra time         1         300         4454/357.5         8.19         17.13         2.48         18.71         -           Monumers         BCA01         Arroyo Kalteen         Bolish         -153         -66.57         2.00         Terra time         6         2.39         244.34/237.51         17.13         2.54         18.71         -           Monumers         BCA01         Arroyo Kalteen         Bolish         -153         -66.7         2.00         Terra time         10         2.56         2.44.34/23.51         17.13         2.56         18.71         -           Monte         BENA1         Arroyo Kalteen         Bolish         -1.47         -66.3         2.00         Terra time         1         2.50         2.441/33.55         17.73         2.56         18.45         -         18.45         -         18.45         -         18.44         18.75         -	Plot Name	Plot Code		Country	(decimal)	(decimal)	(m)	Type	(ha)	$(m^2 ha^{-1})$	$ha^{-1}$ )	of rainfall		(C)	$day^{-1}$ )	tion
Rb         MB0pl1-3         Statute Killerine (1996)         Bits         -17.5         -6.3.7         6.0.7         6.0.7         6.0.7         6.0.7         6.0.7         6.0.7         6.0.7         6.0.7         6.0.7         6.0.7         6.0.7         6.0.7         6.0.7         6.0.7         6.0.7         6.0.7         6.0.7         6.0.7         1.0.0         1.	Amazonic	AMA-01	Arroyo, Killeen (unnublished data)	Bolivia	-16.63	-61.92	500	Terra firme	6.25	18.00	186.74/180.59	7.67	1052	24.83	18.63	0.015
	Amboro Rio	AMB-01 <sup>1,2,3</sup>		Bolivia	-17.75	-63.73	680*	Terra firme	1	39.20	404.54/357.5	8.64	918	21.00	18.88	0.011
	Saguayo															
vector         cuppublished data         control bible data <thcottrol bible="" data<="" th="">         control bible data<td>BosqueChimanes:</td><td></td><td>Arroyo, Killeen</td><td>Bolivia</td><td>-15.07</td><td>-66.55</td><td>200</td><td>Terra firme</td><td>9</td><td>23.90</td><td>244.34/234.57</td><td>5.13</td><td>1713</td><td>25.45</td><td>18.71</td><td>-0.048</td></thcottrol>	BosqueChimanes:		Arroyo, Killeen	Bolivia	-15.07	-66.55	200	Terra firme	9	23.90	244.34/234.57	5.13	1713	25.45	18.71	-0.048
	Aguas Negras		(unpublished data)													
	BosqueChimanes:		Arroyo, Killeen	Bolivia	-15.58	-66.17	200	Terra firme	10	22.86	234.09/224.37	4.51	1850	25.31	18.79	-0.035
	Chirizi		(unpublished data)													
at         (unpublished data)         (unpublished data) <td>BosqueChimanes:</td> <td></td> <td>Arroyo, Killeen</td> <td>Bolivia</td> <td>-15.28</td> <td>-66.47</td> <td>200</td> <td>Terra firme</td> <td>10</td> <td>28.45</td> <td>291.02/289.78</td> <td>4.82</td> <td>1790</td> <td>25.91</td> <td>18.61</td> <td>-0.037</td>	BosqueChimanes:		Arroyo, Killeen	Bolivia	-15.28	-66.47	200	Terra firme	10	28.45	291.02/289.78	4.82	1790	25.91	18.61	-0.037
	Jamanchi		(unpublished data)													
Vete         (uppublished data)         (uppublished data)         1713         26.3         10.5         135.48/298.49         5.03         1713         26.26         18.45           BEN-01         Comiskey et al. (2000) Bolivia $-14.7$ $-66.33$ 200°         Terra firme         1         31.08         733         26.26         18.45         26.35           BEN-012-2         Comiskey et al. (2000) Bolivia $-14.7$ $-66.35$ 20°         Terra firme         1         21.00         26.01/2         26.26         18.45         26.35         100         26.63         20°         Terra firme         1         21.20         26.41/2         26.26         18.45         26.26         18.45         26.35         20°         Terra firme         1         21.30         21.36         26.35         20°         18.45         26.35         20°         18.45         26.35         20°         18.45         26.35         20°         18.45         26.35         20°         18.45         26.35         26.35         18.45         26.35         26.35         18.45         26.35         18.45         26.35         18.45         26.35         18.45         26.35         18.45         26.35         18.45	BosqueChimanes:		Arroyo, Killeen	Bolivia	-15	-66	200	Terra firme	1	25.70	263.11/252.24	4.41	1857	26.04	18.55	-0.024
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Infierno Verde		(unpublished data)													
2000         Consister et. (2000 Boliva $-14.77$ -66:35         200         Terra firme         1         31.00 $316.98/29.94$ 505 $134.5$ $345.5$ $355.6$ $345.5$ $355.6$ $345.5$ $355.6$ $345.5$ $355.6$ $345.5$ $355.6$ $345.5$ $355.6$ $345.5$ $355.6$ $345.5$ $355.6$ $345.5$ $355.6$ $345.5$ $355.6$ $345.5$ $355.6$ $345.5$ $355.6$ $345.5$ $355.6$ $345.5$ $355.6$ $345.5$ $355.6$ $345.5$ <td>Beni 1</td> <td>BEN-01</td> <td>Comiskey et al.</td> <td>Bolivia</td> <td>-14.78</td> <td>-66.34</td> <td>200*</td> <td>Seasonally</td> <td>1</td> <td>30.85</td> <td>315.48/298.49</td> <td>5.03</td> <td>1713</td> <td>26.26</td> <td>18.45</td> <td>-0.025</td>	Beni 1	BEN-01	Comiskey et al.	Bolivia	-14.78	-66.34	200*	Seasonally	1	30.85	315.48/298.49	5.03	1713	26.26	18.45	-0.025
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			(2000)					flooded								
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Beni 2	BEN-02	Comiskey et al. (2000)	) Bolivia	-14.77	-66.35	200*	Terra firme	1	31.00	316.98/299.94	5.03	1713	26.26	18.45	-0.025
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Beni 10	BEN-10 <sup>1,2,3</sup>	Comiskey et al. (2000)	) Bolivia	-14.89	-66.59	250*	Terra firme	1	42.38	433.15/410.05	5.23	1709	26.15	18.50	-0.031
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Beni 12	BEN-12	Comiskey et al. (2000)	) Bolivia	-14.7	-66.12	200*	Terra firme	1	26.10	267.04/252.53	5.03	1713	26.26	18.45	-0.025
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Beni 14	BEN-14	Comiskey et al. (2000)	) Bolivia	-14.74	-66.56	200*	Terra firme	1	31.52	322.49/304.97	5.23	1709	26.15	18.50	-0.031
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Beni 5	BEN-5 <sup>1,2</sup>	Comiskey et al. (2000)	) Bolivia	-14.75	-66.37	200*	Terra firme	1	39.45	403.38/381.7	5.03	1713	26.26	18.45	-0.025
CHN-01       RANFOR       Bolivia       -167       -62       275*       Terra firme       4       32.28       334.8/323.87       7.67       1052       24.83       18.63         (upublished data)       (upublished data)       ECHO-01       RANFOR       Bolivia       -14.35       -61.16       170       Terra firme       1       14.51       131.23       6.23       1357       25.88       17.64         (upublished data)       Mupublished data)       ECHO-01       RANFOR       Bolivia       -14.54       -61.16       170       Terra firme       1       11.99       125.1/123.78       6.33       1357       25.88       17.64         CRP-01       RANFOR       Bolivia       -14.54       -61.48       350       Terra firme       1       19.91       215.39       6.49       1297       25.73       17.85         CRP-02       RANFOR       Bolivia       -14.53       -61.48       350       Terra firme       1       24.78       25.403       6.49       1297       25.73       17.85         CRP-02       RANFOR       Bolivia       -14.53       -61.48       350       Terra firme       1       24.78       234.03       6.49       1297       25.73       17.85	Beni 9	BEN-9 <sup>1,2</sup>	Comiskey et al. (2000)	) Bolivia	-14.73	-66.32	200*	Terra firme	1	39.37	402.61/380.92	5.03	1713	26.26	18.45	-0.025
	La Chonta	CHN-01	RAINFOR	Bolivia	-16.7	-62	275*	Terra firme	4	32.28	334.8/323.87	7.67	1052	24.83	18.63	0.015
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			(unpublished data)													
	Chore 1	CHO-01	RAINFOR	Bolivia	-14.35	-61.16	170	Terra firme	1	14.51	131.23	6.23	1357	25.88	17.64	0.001
CHO-02       Arroyo, Killeen       Bolivia       -14.35       -61.16       170       Terra firme       1       11.99       125.1/123.78       6.23       1357       25.88       17.64         (unpublished data)       (unpublished data) <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>(unpublished data)</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>			(unpublished data)													
(unpublished data)       (unpublished data)       5.73       17.85         (unpublished data)       (unpublished data)       14.54       -61.48       350       Terra firme       1       19.91 <b>215.39</b> 6.49       1297       25.73       17.85         (unpublished data)       Bolivia       -14.53       -61.48       350       Terra firme       1       24.78 <b>234.03</b> 6.49       1297       25.73       17.85         (unpublished data)       Bolivia       -13.9       -60.8       550       Terra firme       1       24.78 <b>234.03</b> 6.49       1297       25.73       17.85         HCC-11       Arroyo, Killeen       Bolivia       -13.9       -60.8       550       Terra firme       1       31.07 <b>258.84</b> 5.77       1454       25.58       17.33         HCC-12       Arroyo, Killeen       Bolivia       -13.9       -60.8       550       Terra firme       1       31.07 <b>258.44</b> 5.77       1454       25.58       17.33         HCC-12       Arroyo, Killeen       Bolivia       -13.9       -60.8       550       Terra firme       1       31.25 <b>284.8</b> 5.77       1454       25.58       17.33 <td>Chore 2</td> <td>CHO-02</td> <td>Arroyo, Killeen</td> <td>Bolivia</td> <td>-14.35</td> <td>-61.16</td> <td>170</td> <td>Terra firme</td> <td>1</td> <td>11.99</td> <td>125.1/123.78</td> <td>6.23</td> <td>1357</td> <td>25.88</td> <td>17.64</td> <td>0.001</td>	Chore 2	CHO-02	Arroyo, Killeen	Bolivia	-14.35	-61.16	170	Terra firme	1	11.99	125.1/123.78	6.23	1357	25.88	17.64	0.001
CRP-01       RAINFOR       Bolivia       -14.54       -61.48       350       Terra firme       1       19.91 <b>215.39</b> 6.49       1297       25.73       17.85         (upublished data)       (upublished data)        -14.53       -61.48       350       Terra firme       1       24.78 <b>234.03</b> 6.49       1297       25.73       17.85         CRP-02       RAINFOR       Bolivia       -14.53       -61.48       350       Terra firme       1       24.78 <b>234.03</b> 6.49       1297       25.73       17.85         CRP-02       RAINFOR       Bolivia       -13.9       -60.8       550       Terra firme       1       31.07 <b>258.84</b> 5.77       1454       25.88       17.33         HCC-11       Arroyo, Killeen       Bolivia       -13.9       -60.8       550       Terra firme       1       31.07 <b>258.84</b> 5.77       1454       25.58       17.33         HCC-12       Arroyo, Killeen       Bolivia       -13.9       -60.8       550       Terra firme       1       31.07 <b>258.44</b> 5.77       1454       25.58       17.33         HCC-12       Arroyo, Killeen       Bolivia			(unpublished data)													
(unpublished data)       (unpublished data)       6.49       1297       25.73       17.85         CRP-02       RAINFOR       Bolivia       -14.53       -61.48       350       Terra firme       1       24.78       234.03       6.49       1297       25.73       17.85         (unpublished data)                        25.73       17.85	Cerro Pelao 1	CRP-01	RAINFOR	Bolivia	-14.54	-61.48	350	Terra firme	1	19.91	215.39	6.49	1297	25.73	17.85	0.044
CRP-02       RAINFOR       Bolivia       -14.53       -61.48       350       Terra firme       1       24.78       234.03       6.49       1297       25.73       17.85         (unpublished data)       (unpublished data)                 24.03       6.49       1297       25.73       17.85         HCC-11       Arroyo, Killeen       Bolivia       -13.9       -60.8       550       Terra firme       1       31.07 <b>258.84</b> 5.77       1454       25.58       17.33         HCC-12       Arroyo, Killeen       Bolivia       -13.9       -60.8       550       Terra firme       1       31.25 <b>284.8</b> 5.77       1454       25.58       17.33         HCC-12       Arroyo, Killeen       Bolivia       -13.9       -60.8       550       Terra firme       1       31.25 <b>284.8</b> 5.77       1454       25.58       17.33         HCC-12       Arroyo, Killeen       Bolivia       -14.61       -60.87       250       Terra firme       1       31.25 <b>284.8</b> 5.77       1454       25.58       17.33         LFB-01       RAINFOR			(unpublished data)													
(unpublished data)       (unpublished data)         HCC-11       Arroyo, Killeen       Bolivia       -13.9       -60.8       550       Terra firme       1       31.07 <b>258.84</b> 5.77       1454       25.58       17.33         HCC-11       Arroyo, Killeen       Bolivia       -13.9       -60.8       550       Terra firme       1       31.25 <b>284.8</b> 5.77       1454       25.58       17.33         HCC-12       Arroyo, Killeen       Bolivia       -13.9       -60.8       550       Terra firme       1       31.25 <b>284.8</b> 5.77       1454       25.58       17.33         HCC-12       Arroyo, Killeen       Bolivia       -13.9       -60.8       550       Terra firme       1       31.25 <b>284.8</b> 5.77       1454       25.58       17.33         LFB-01       RAINFOR       Bolivia       -14.61       -60.87       225       Terra firme       1       24.99 <b>245.81</b> 6.46       1313       25.12       17.69         (unpublished data)       (unpublished data)       1       24.99 <b>245.81</b> 6.46       1313       25.12       17.69	Cerro Pelao 2	CRP-02	RAINFOR	Bolivia	-14.53	-61.48	350	Terra firme	1	24.78	234.03	6.49	1297	25.73	17.85	0.044
HCC-11       Arroyo, Killeen       Bolivia       -13.9       -60.8       550       Terra firme       1       31.07 <b>258.84</b> 5.77       1454       25.58       17.33         (unpublished data)       (unpublished data)       1       1       31.07 <b>258.84</b> 5.77       1454       25.58       17.33         HCC-12       Arroyo, Killeen       Bolivia       -13.9       -60.8       550       Terra firme       1       31.25 <b>284.8</b> 5.77       1454       25.58       17.33         HCC-12       Arroyo, Killeen       Bolivia       -13.9       -60.8       550       Terra firme       1       31.25 <b>284.8</b> 5.77       1454       25.58       17.33         (unpublished data)       1       2       2 <b>284.8</b> 5.77       1454       25.58       17.33         (unpublished data)       1       2       2 <b>284.8</b> 5.77       1454       25.58       17.69         (unpublished data)       1       2       2       2       2       2       2       2       2       2       17.69         (unpublished data)       1       2       2       2       2       2			(unpublished data)													
(unpublished data)       (unpublished data)         HCC-12       Arroyo, Killeen       Bolivia       -13.9       -60.8       550       Terra firme       1       31.25 <b>284.8</b> 5.77       1454       25.58       17.33         (unpublished data)       (unpublished data)         1       24.99 <b>245.81</b> 6.46       1313       25.12       17.69         (unpublished data)       (unpublished data)         1       24.99 <b>245.81</b> 6.46       1313       25.12       17.69	Huanchaca	HCC-11	Arroyo, Killeen	Bolivia	-13.9	-60.8	550	Terra firme	1	31.07	258.84	5.77	1454	25.58	17.33	0.009
HCC-12 Arroyo, Killeen Bolivia –13.9 –60.8 550 Terra firme 1 31.25 <b>284.8</b> 5.77 1454 25.58 17.33 (unpublished data) LFB-01 RAINFOR Bolivia –14.61 –60.87 225 Terra firme 1 24.99 <b>245.81</b> 6.46 1313 25.12 17.69 (unpublished data)	Uno 1 plot 1		(unpublished data)													
(unpublished data) LFB-01 RAINFOR Bolivia –14.61 –60.87 225 Terra firme 1 24.99 <b>245.81</b> 6.46 1313 25.12 17.69 (unpublished data)	Huanchaca	HCC-12	Arroyo, Killeen	Bolivia	-13.9	-60.8	550	Terra firme	1	31.25	284.8	5.77	1454	25.58	17.33	0.00
LFB-01 RAINFOR Bolivia –14.61 –60.87 225 Terra firme 1 24.99 <b>245.81</b> 6.46 1313 25.12 17.69 (unpublished data)	Uno 1 plot 2		(unpublished data)													
	Los Fierros	LFB-01	RAINFOR	Bolivia	-14.61	-60.87	225	Terra firme	1	24.99	245.81	6.46	1313	25.12	17.69	0.011
	Bosque I		(unpublished data)													

Journal compilation © 2006 Blackwell Publishing Ltd, Global Change Biology, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2006.01120.x

 Table A1
 Metadata for the 227 forest plots used in this analysis

Plot Name	Plot Code		Country	Latitude (decimal)	Elev Longitude tion (decimal) (m)	Eleva- e tion (m)	Forest Type	Plot Size (ha)	Basal area (m <sup>2</sup> ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Biomass <sup>+</sup> (Mg DW ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Mean number of months <100 mm of rainfall	Mean monthly rainfall (mm)	Mean monthly tempera- ture (°C)	Mean monthly solar (MJ m <sup>-2</sup> day <sup>-1</sup> )	ENSO- rainfall correla- tion
Los Fierros	LFB-02	RAINFOR	Bolivia	-14.6	-60.85	225	Terra firme	1	29.00	291.37	6.46	1313	25.12	17.69	0.011
Bosque II		(unpublished data)													
Lomerio	LOM-01	Arroyo, Killeen	Bolivia	-16.63	-61.92	500	Terra firme	22.5	23.27	241.42/233.47	7.67	1052	24.83	18.63	0.015
Las Londras	LSL-01	(unpublished data) RAINFOR	Bolivia	-14.4	-61.13	170	Seasonally	1	18.01	177.17	6.23	1357	25.88	17.64	0.001
plot 1		(unpublished data)					flooded								
Las Londras	LSL-02	RAINFOR	Bolivia	-14.4	-61.13	170	Seasonally	1	22.98	205.68	6.23	1357	25.88	17.64	0.001
plot 2		(unpublished data)					flooded								
NK: Acuario 1	NKA-01	Arroyo, Killeen	Bolivia	-15.25	-61.24	300	Terra firme	1	19.20	199.83/199.91	6.72	1227	25.31	18.01	0.045
NK: Acuario 2	NKA-02	Arrovo, Killeen	Bolivia	-15.25	-61.24	300	Terra firme	-	19.10	198.79/198.87	6.72	1227	25.31	18.01	0.045
		(unpublished data)													
NK: Bosque	NKC-01	Arroyo, Killeen	Bolivia	-14.3	-60.53	800	Terra firme	1	26.76	279.35/270.23	6.08	1367	25.10	17.52	0.016
Continuo 1		(unpublished data)													
NK: Bosque	NKC-02	Arroyo, Killeen	Bolivia	-14.3	-60.53	800	Terra firme	1	23.60	246.36/238.32	6.08	1367	25.10	17.52	0.016
Continuo 2		(unpublished data)													
NoelKempff:	NKE-01	Arroyo, Killeen	Bolivia	-13.64	-60.89	520	Terra firme	1	14.26	148.96/147.21	5.77	1454	25.58	17.33	0.009
Enano 1		(unpublished data)													
NoelKempff:	NKE-02 <sup>3</sup>	Arroyo, Killeen	Bolivia	-13.64	-60.89	520	Terra firme	1	13.95	145.72/144.01	5.77	1454	25.58	17.33	0.00
Enano 2															
NoelKempff:	NKG-01 <sup>1,2</sup>		Bolivia	-14.8	-60.39	850	Terra firme	1	34.27	357.44/345.78	6.44	1332	24.64	17.51	-0.008
Las Gamas		(unpublished data)													
NoelKempff:	NKI-01	Arroyo, Killeen	Bolivia	-14.56	-60.75	700	Terra firme	1	28.32	295.38/285.75	6.46	1313	25.12	17.69	0.011
Isla 1		(unpublished data)													
NoelKempff:	NKI-02	Arroyo, Killeen	Bolivia	-14.57	-60.74	800	Terra firme	1	25.48	265.76/257.09	6.46	1313	25.12	17.69	0.011
Isla 2		(unpublished data)													
NoelKempff:	NKM-01 <sup>1,2,</sup>	NKM-01 <sup>1,2,3</sup> Arroyo, Killeen	Bolivia	-14.64	-61.16	200	Seasonally	1	34.46	359.21/355.75	6.49	1297	25.73	17.85	0.044
Monte Cristo 1		(unpublished data)					flooded								
NoelKempff:	NKM-02	Arroyo, Killeen	Bolivia	-14.71	-61.15	200	Seasonally	1	27.93	291.09/288.34	6.49	1297	25.73	17.85	0.044
Monte Cristo 2		(unpublished data)					flooded								
NoelKempff:	NKT-01 <sup>1,2,3</sup>		Bolivia	-13.65	-60.83	200	Terra firme	1	9.88	103.21/102	5.77	1454	25.58	17.33	0.009
Las Torres 1		(unpublished data)													
NoelKempff:	NKT-02 <sup>1,2,3</sup>		Bolivia	-13.65	-60.83	200	Terra firme	1	10.96	114.5/113.15	5.77	1454	25.58	17.33	0.00
Las Torres 2		(unpublished data)													
NoelKempff:	NKV-01	Arroyo, Killeen	Bolivia	-15.01	-61.13	200	Terra firme	1	21.75	226.42/226.42	6.72	1227	25.31	18.01	0.045
Monte Verde		(unpublished data)													

© 2006 The Authors

Table A1. (Contd.)

Processmal         First first         1         2.33         2.32.47/241.54         1.57         2.33           Processmal         First, Fi	Plot Name	Plot Code	Country	Latitude y (decimal)	Elev Longitude tion (decimal) (m)	Eleva- e tion (m)	Forest Type	Plot Size (ha)	Basal area (m <sup>2</sup> ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Biomass <sup>+</sup> (Mg DW ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Mean number Mean of months monthly <100 mm rainfall of rainfall (mm)	Mean monthly rainfall (mm)	Mean monthly tempera- ture (°C)	Mean monthly solar (MJ m <sup>-2</sup> day <sup>-1</sup> )	ENSO- rainfall correla- tion
	Perseverancia Pilon Lajas: Rio	PER-01 PIL-01	Smith & Killeen (1998) Bolivia Smith & Killeen (1998) Bolivia		-62.75 -67.08	250* 270	Terra firme Terra firme	1 1	23.38 26.40	242.47/241.36 269.49/242.87	6.67 4.87	1273 1730	25.80 25.27	18.17 18.58	0.019 - 0.033
Taction         TAC(1)         DefWit et al. (1990)         Beiks         -1397         -16.65         225         Tera time         8         2335/220.83         537         2033           Tacuma         TAR(01         myony Killen         Boiks         -1397         -16.65         225         Tera time         8         2023         2035/29.26         544         303           Bake 1: PL         BAL(01         Boike (1990)         Bazi         -513         -45.37         07         Tera time         1         27.20         254/233.64         564         993           Bake 2: Unival bain         Bake (1900)         Bazi         -527         -45.33         100         Tera time         1         203         203         204         203         203         204         203         204         204         203         204         204         203         204	Colorado Pilon Lajas: Cumhro Pilon	PIL-02	Smith & Killeen (1998) Bolivia		-67	006	Terra firme	1	30.62	312.77/311.96	5.13	1713	25.45	18.71	-0.048
Bake 1: F1         BAL-01         Bake (1990)         Brazil $-31$ $-450$ $0^{-1}$ $Tern finne         1 2^{-3} 2^{-3} 5.64 5.94 $	Taruma Taruma	TAC-01 TAR-01			-68.2 -61.65	250 225	Terra firme Terra firme	8 1	24.96 20.23	253.56/229.62 210.73/208.84	3.74 5.92	2052 1383	24.40 25.91	18.34 17.56	0.008 -0.011
Guajor, R. Trutted.         Guajor         Bale (1990)         Brazil	Balee 1: P.I.			-3.1	-45.97	*06	Terra firme	1	27.20	293.96/292.6	5.64	1950	26.85	16.31	-0.218
BAL-04         BAL-04         Bale (1990)         Brazil         -16.3         100*         Terra firme         1         30.30         32754/333.64         5.08         2.04           Gurupinasion         Gurupinasion         BAL-04 <sup>13</sup> Bale (1990)         Brazil         -2.67         -46.33         100*         Terra firme         1         34.50         32754/333.64         5.08         2104           Gurupinasion         BDFPO1         Bale (1990)         Brazil         -2.67         -46.33         100*         Terra firme         1         34.50         37265/379.88         5.08         2104           Gurupi         BDFP 2308         BDFO2         Laurance <i>et al.</i> (1999)         Brazil         -2.24         -46.35         10*         Terra firme         1         34.50         30.55         3105         2167           BDFP 2308         BDFO3         Laurance <i>et al.</i> (1999)         Brazil         -2.24         -46.0         75         Terra firme         1         25.62         32.64         30.5         2167           BDFP 2008         BDFO1 <sup>12/3</sup> Laurance <i>et al.</i> (1999)         Brazil         -2.41         -4.91         75         Terra firme         1         25.61         25.62         2167	Guaja/R. Turiacı Balee 2: Urutawi, (Turican hasin)	ı / BAL-02		-3.1	-45.97	*06	Terra firme	1	25.30	273.42/272.16	5.64	1950	26.85	16.31	-0.218
Bale 4: FL         BAL-04 <sup>13</sup> Bale (1990)         FazI         -26.3         100.         Tera firme         1         34.50         37.295/379.85         5.08         2104           Caninde/R         BDFP12303         BDF01         Laurance <i>et al.</i> (1999)         Brazil         -24         -60.3         75         Tera firme         2         30.55         30.85         5.05         2167           Faz Dimona 4-6         BDF01         Laurance <i>et al.</i> (1999)         Brazil         -24         -60         75         Tera firme         2         30.64         3.05         2167           Faz Dimona 4-6         Laurance <i>et al.</i> (1999)         Brazil         -24         -59.9         75         Tera firme         2         20.47         3.05         2167           BDFFP 1101         BDF03         Laurance <i>et al.</i> (1999)         Brazil         -24         -59.9         75         Tera firme         1         2.567         3.05         2167           Gaviao         BDF04         Laurance <i>et al.</i> (1999)         Brazil         -24         -59.9         75         Tera firme         1         2.51         2.509         3.05         2167           Gaviao         BDF04         BDF06         Laurance <i>et al.</i>	Balee 3: Gurupiuna (Gurupi basin)	BAL-03		-2.67	-46.33	$100^{*}$	Terra firme	1	30.30	327.54/333.64	5.08	2104	26.39	16.20	-0.221
Gunpi         Gunpi         Definition 46         Mode of all (1990)         Brazil $-24$ $-60$ $75$ Tera firme $2$ $30.58$ $3.05$ $3.05$ $2167$ Faz Dimona 46         BDFP2 303         BDF-02         Laurance et al. (1990)         Brazil $-24$ $-60$ $75$ Tera firme $3$ $29.40$ $35562/322.88$ $3.05$ $2167$ BDFFP 1101         BDF-03         Laurance et al. (1990)         Brazil $-24$ $-59.9$ $75$ Tera firme $1$ $29.51$ $3.05$ $3.05$ $2167$ BDFFP 1103         BDF-04         Laurance et al. (1990)         Brazil $-24$ $-59.9$ $75$ Tera firme $1$ $25.67$ $305$ $305$ $2167$ Gaviao         BDFFP 1103         BDF-04         Laurance et al. (1999)         Brazil $-24$ $-59.9$ $75$ Tera firme $1$ $25.67$ $305$ $3167$ $2167$ Gaviao         BDFFP 1103         BDF-06         Laurance et al. (1999)         Brazil $-24$ $-59.9$ $75$	Balee 4: P.I. Caninde/R.	BAL-04 <sup>1,3</sup>		-2.67	-46.33	100*	Terra firme	1	34.50	372.95/379.88	5.08	2104	26.39	16.20	-0.221
Faz. Dimona 4-6         BDFFP 2303       BDFrQ 240       Laurance et al. (1999)       Brazil $-24$ $-60$ 75       Terra firme       3       29.40 $32562/32288$ 3.05       2167         Faz. Dimona 7-9       BDFrP1101       BDF-041       Laurance et al. (1999)       Brazil $-24$ $-599$ 75       Terra firme       1 $29.51$ $339.64$ 3.05       2167         Gaviao       BDFrP1102       BDF-04 <sup>12.3</sup> Laurance et al. (1999)       Brazil $-2.4$ $-599$ 75       Terra firme       1 $2.567$ $305.98$ 3.05 $2167$ Gaviao       BDFrP 1103       BDF-06       Laurance et al. (1999)       Brazil $-2.4$ $-59.9$ 75       Terra firme       1 $2.567$ $305.98$ $3.05$ $2167$ Gaviao       BDFrP 1201       BDF-06       Laurance et al. (1999)       Brazil $-2.4$ $-59.9$ $75$ Terra firme       1 $25.67$ $305.98$ $3.05$ $2167$ Gaviao       BDF-04       Laurance et al. (1999)       Brazil $-2.4$ $-59.9$ $75$ Terra firme $1$ $28.01$ $3.05$ <	Gurupi BDFFP 2303			-2.4	-60	75	Terra firme	7	30.25	380.88	3.05	2167	27.00	15.28	-0.260
Faz. Dimona 7-9       Stantian 7-9       Stantian 7-9       Start Dimona 7-9       Start D	Faz. Dimona 4–6 BDFFP 2303			-2.4	-60	75	Terra firme	б	29.40	325.62/322.88	3.05	2167	27.00	15.28	-0.260
Determine         BDF-04 <sup>12.3</sup> Laurance et al. (1999)         Brazil         -2.4         -59.9         75         Terra firme         1         22.51         26.09         3.05         2167           Gaviao         BDF-105         Laurance et al. (1999)         Brazil         -2.4         -59.9         75         Terra firme         1         25.67         306.98         3.05         2167           Gaviao         BDF-101         BDF-06         Laurance et al. (1999)         Brazil         -2.4         -59.9         75         Terra firme         1         25.67         306.98         3.05         2167           Gaviao         BDF-101         BDF-07         Laurance et al. (1999)         Brazil         -2.4         -59.9         75         Terra firme         1         28.21         3.05         2167           Gaviao         BDF-105         Laurance et al. (1999)         Brazil         -2.49         -59.9         75         Terra firme         1         28.21         3.05         2167           Gaviao         BDF-09         Laurance et al. (1999)         Brazil         -2.49         -59.9         75         Terra firme         1         28.01         3.05         2167           Gaviao <td< td=""><td>Faz. Dimona 7–9 BDFFP 1101 Carried</td><td></td><td></td><td>-2.4</td><td>-59.9</td><td>75</td><td>Terra firme</td><td>-</td><td>29.51</td><td>339.64</td><td>3.05</td><td>2167</td><td>27.00</td><td>15.28</td><td>-0.260</td></td<>	Faz. Dimona 7–9 BDFFP 1101 Carried			-2.4	-59.9	75	Terra firme	-	29.51	339.64	3.05	2167	27.00	15.28	-0.260
Gaviao       BDFrP 1103       BDF-05       Laurance et al. (1999)       Brazil       -24       -59.9       75       Terra firme       1       25.67 <b>306.98</b> 3.05       2167         Gaviao       BDFFP 1201       BDF-06       Laurance et al. (1999)       Brazil       -2.4       -59.9       75       Terra firme       3       25.97 <b>306.59</b> 3.05       2167         Gaviao       BDFFP 1105       BDF-07       Laurance et al. (1999)       Brazil       -2.4       -59.9       75       Terra firme       1       28.21       312.42/309.81       3.05       2167         Gaviao       BDFFP 1105       BDF-08       Laurance et al. (1999)       Brazil       -2.4       -59.9       75       Terra firme       1       28.09       3.05       2167         Gaviao       BDF-08       Laurance et al. (1999)       Brazil       -2.4       -59.9       75       Terra firme       1       28.09       3.05       2167         Gaviao       BDF-09       Laurance et al. (1999)       Brazil       -2.4       -59.9       75       Terra firme       1       28.09       3.05       2167         Gaviao       BDF-09       Laurance et al. (1999)       Brazil       -2.4	BDFFP 1102	BDF-04 <sup>1,2,3</sup>	Laurance et al. (1999)	-2.4	-59.9	75	Terra firme	1	22.51	250.9	3.05	2167	27.00	15.28	-0.260
Gaviao       BDFrp 1201       BDF-06       Laurance et al. (1999)       Brazil       -2.4       -59.9       75       Terra firme       3       25.97 <b>300.59</b> 3.05       2167         Gaviao       BDFFP 1105       BDF-07       Laurance et al. (1999)       Brazil       -2.4       -59.9       75       Terra firme       1       28.21       312.42/309.81       3.05       2167         Gaviao       BDFFP 1109       BDF-08       Laurance et al. (1999)       Brazil       -2.4       -59.9       75       Terra firme       1       28.09       312.42/309.81       3.05       2167         Gaviao       BDFFP 1109       BDF-08       Laurance et al. (1999)       Brazil       -2.4       -59.9       75       Terra firme       1       28.09       3.05       2167         Gaviao       BDF-09       Laurance et al. (1999)       Brazil       -2.4       -59.9       75       Terra firme       1       28.09       3.05       3.05       2167         Gaviao       BDF-09       Laurance et al. (1999)       Brazil       -2.4       -59.9       75       Terra firme       1       29.81       3.05       2167         Horestal       Increatin       1       29.81	Gaviao BDFFP 1103	BDF-05		-2.4	-59.9	75	Terra firme	1	25.67	306.98	3.05	2167	27.00	15.28	-0.260
Gaviao       BDFFP 1105       BDF-07       Laurance et al. (1999)       Brazil       -2.4       -59.9       75       Terra firme       1       28.21       312.42/309.81       3.05       2167         Gaviao       Gaviao       BDFFP 1109       BDF-08       Laurance et al. (1999)       Brazil       -2.4       -59.9       75       Terra firme       1       28.09       322.24       3.05       2167         Gaviao       Gaviao       -2.4       -59.9       75       Terra firme       1       28.09       322.24       3.05       2167         Gaviao       BDFFP 1113       BDF-09       Laurance et al. (1999)       Brazil       -2.4       -59.9       75       Terra firme       1       29.81       330.14/327.38       3.05       2167         Florestal       Florestal       -2.4       -59.9       75       Terra firme       1       29.81       330.14/327.38       3.05       2167	Gaviao BDFFP 1201	BDF-06	Laurance et al. (1999) Brazil	-2.4	-59.9	75	Terra firme	б	25.97	300.59	3.05	2167	27.00	15.28	-0.260
BDFFP 1109 BDF-08 Laurance <i>et al.</i> (1999) Brazil –2.4 –59.9 75 Terra firme 1 28.09 <b>322.24</b> 3.05 2167 Gaviao BDFFP 1113 BDF-09 Laurance <i>et al.</i> (1999) Brazil –2.4 –59.9 75 Terra firme 1 29.81 330.14/327.38 3.05 2167 Florestal		BDF-07	Laurance et al. (1999) Brazil	-2.4	-59.9	75	Terra firme		28.21	312.42/309.81	3.05	2167	27.00	15.28	-0.260
BDFFP 1113 BDF-09 Laurance <i>et al.</i> (1999) Brazil –2.4 –59.9 75 Terra firme 1 29.81 330.14/327.38 3.05 2167 Florestal		BDF-08	Laurance et al. (1999) Brazil	-2.4	-59.9	75	Terra firme	1	28.09	322.24	3.05	2167	27.00	15.28	-0.260
		BDF-09	Laurance et al. (1999) Brazil	-2.4	-59.9	75	Terra firme	1	29.81	330.14/327.38		2167	27.00	15.28	-0.260

Journal compilation © 2006 Blackwell Publishing Ltd, Global Change Biology, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2006.01120.x

Table A1. (Contd.)

Table A1. (Contd)	td.)														
Plot Name	Plot Code		Country	Latitude (decimal)	Elev Longitude tion (decimal) (m)	Eleva- tion (m)	Forest Type	Plot Size (ha)	Basal area (m <sup>2</sup> ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Biomass <sup>+</sup> (Mg DW ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Mean number of months <100 mm of rainfall	Mean monthly rainfall (mm)	Mean monthly tempera- ture (°C)	Mean monthly solar (MJ m <sup>-2</sup> day <sup>-1</sup> )	ENSO- rainfall correla- tion
BDFFP 1301 Florestal 1 = plot	BDF-10	Laurance <i>et al</i> , (1999) Brazil	Brazil	-2.4	-59.9	75	Terra firme	1	28.30	330.45	3.05	2167	27.00	15.28	-0.260
1301.1 BDFFP 1301 Florestal 2 = plots	BDF-11	Laurance <i>et al.</i> (1999) Brazil	Brazil	-2.4	59.9	75	Terra firme	б	30.27	357.97	3.05	2167	27.00	15.28	-0.260
BDFFP 1301 BDFFP 1301 Florestal 3 = plots	BDF-12	Laurance et al. (1999) Brazil	Brazil	-2.4	-59.9	75	Terra firme	0	29.40	351.74	3.05	2167	27.00	15.28	-0.260
1301.78 BDFFP 3402 Cabo BDF-13	) BDF-13	Laurance <i>et al.</i> (1999) Brazil	Brazil	-2.4	-60	75	Terra firme	6	28.53	344.35	3.05	2167	27.00	15.28	-0.260
BDFFP 3304	BDF-14	Laurance et al. (1999)	Brazil	-2.4	-60	75	Terra firme	7	30.69	358.69	3.05	2167	27.00	15.28	-0.260
rorto Alegre Bionte 1	BNT-01	Higuchi et al.	Brazil	-2.63	-60.17	70*	Terra firme	1	31.15	345.02/342.13	3.00	2272	27.23	15.20	-0.227
Bionte 2	BNT-02	(unpublished data) Higuchi et al.	Brazil	-2.63	-60.17	70*	Terra firme	1	33.04	365.86/362.8	3.00	2272	27.23	15.20	-0.227
Bionte 4	BNT-04	(unpublished data) Higuchi <i>et al.</i> (ummihlished data)	Brazil	-2.63	-60.17	70*	Terra firme	1	28.98	320.97/318.28	3.00	2272	27.23	15.20	-0.227
Bionte T4 B2 SB1	BNT-05	Higuchi et al.	Brazil	-2.63	-60.17	70*	Terra firme	-	27.28	302.09/299.56	3.00	2272	27.23	15.20	-0.227
Bionte T4	BNT-06	Higuchi et al.	Brazil	-2.63	-60.17	70*	Terra firme	1	30.85	341.61/338.75	3.00	2272	27.23	15.20	-0.227
ы SB3 Bionte T4 Басра	BNT-07	(unpublished data) Higuchi et al.	Brazil	-2.63	-60.17	70*	Terra firme	1	31.39	347.59/344.68	3.00	2272	27.23	15.20	-0.227
BR-364 km17	BRR-01	Thomsen PhD thesis	Brazil	-10.75	-61.92	190*	Terra firme	1	31.05	326.65/305.53	4.64	1826	25.70	16.35	-0.023
P.M. – J. Rondônia Camaipi	a CAI-01	Mori <i>et al.</i> (1989)	Brazil	0.17	-51.62	30*	Terra firme	1	35.10	382.71/386.44	4.79	1993	25.54	15.48	-0.114
Caxiuana 1	CAX-01	RAINFOR (111111111111111111111111111111111111	Brazil	-1.7	-51.53	15	Terra firme	1	30.75	430.33	3.97	2314	26.96	15.71	-0.206
Caxiuana 2	CAX-02	Almeida <i>et al.</i> (inmuhlished data)	Brazil	-1.7	-51.53	15	Terra firme	1	32.28	385.48	3.97	2314	26.96	15.71	-0.206
Caxiuana 3	CAX-03	Almeida <i>et al.</i>	Brazil	-1.7	-51.53	15	Terra firme	1	32.15	350.79/353.96	3.97	2314	26.96	15.71	-0.206
Caxiuana 4	CAX-04	Almeida <i>et al.</i> (unpublished data)	Brazil	-1.7	-51.53	15	Terra firme	Ц	31.03	338.57/341.63	3.97	2314	26.96	15.71	-0.206

© 2006 The Authors

												Mean		Mean	Mean	
												number	Mean	ly	monthly	ENSO-
							Eleva-		Plot	Basal	Biomass <sup>+</sup>	of months	monthly	tempera-	solar	rainfall
					Latitude	Longitude tion	tion	Forest	Size	area	(Mg DW	<100 mm	rainfall	ture	$(\mathrm{MJ}\mathrm{m}^{-2})$	correla-
I	Plot Name	Plot Code		Country	(decimal)	(decimal)	(m)	Type	(ha)	$(m^2 ha^{-1})$	$ha^{-1}$ )	of rainfall	(mm)	(D <sub>°</sub> )	day <sup>-1</sup> )	tion
	Caxiuana 5	CAX-05	Almeida <i>et al.</i> (unpublished data)	Brazil	-1.7	-51.53	15	Terra firme	1	30.53	333.12/336.13	3.97	2314	26.96	15.71	-0.206
Ц	Ducke	DUC-01	Prance <i>et al.</i> (1976)	Brazil	с–	-60	45*	Terra firme	1	24.68	272.71/270.99	3.49	2247	27.23	15.13	-0.224
Ţ	Fazenda Nova	FAZ-01	Silveira et al.	Brazil	-10.12	-69.22	$170^{*}$	Terra firme	1	34.16	345.4/333.04	4.15	1998	25.78	16.62	-0.022
J	Olinda		(unpublished data)													
Ĺ	Jacaranda plots	JAC-01	Higuchi et al.	Brazil	-2.63	-60.17	75*	Terra firme	IJ	27.25	301.84/299.31	3.00	2272	27.23	15.20	-0.227
	1-5		(unpublished data)													
<u> </u>	Jacaranda plots	JAC-02	Higuchi et al.	Brazil	-2.63	-60.17	75*	Terra firme	IJ	26.37	291.99/289.55	3.00	2272	27.23	15.20	-0.227
ť	6-10															
	Jau 1	JAU-01 <sup>1,2,3</sup>	Ferreira & Prance (1998)	Brazil	-2.5	-62	35*	Terra firme	1	37.50	411.62/380.81	2.08	2303	27.02	15.20	-0.210
-	Lau 2	1411-02	Fornaira & Prance	Brazil	с – Г	-67	* 10 10	Terra firme	<del>,</del>	37 80	30 222/20092	2.08	2303	01 00 07	15 20	-0.210
	1 17		(1008)	1171117	) 1	40	2		4	0010		2001	0001	10.11		01-10
-	C	TATT 001.2.3		F	L C	ç	*L C	c E	Ţ	00 10	20 000/ 10 111	00 0				0100
_	Jau 3	JAU-03-7-72		brazıl	C.Z	-62	35*	lerra tırme		37.80	414.91/383.86	2.08	2303	27.02	15.20	-0.210
	Jau 4	JAU-04 <sup>1,2,3</sup>		Brazil	-2.5	-62	35*	Terra firme	1	40.20	441.26/408.23	2.08	2303	27.02	15.20	-0.210
			(1998)													
	Jari 1	JRI-01	J.M.N. Silva,	Brazil	-1	-52.05	85*	Terra firme	1	33.12	392.4	3.95	2346	26.94	15.82	-0.240
			(unpublished data)													
Ĺ	Juruá (Jaraqui)	JUR-01	da Silva, Lisboa,	Brazil	-4.3	-66.37	65*	Terra firme	1	33.93	360.46/344.86	1.56	2518	26.62	15.17	-0.146
			Maciel (1992)													
Ĺ	Juruá (Jurua-I))	JUR-02	da Silva, Lisboa, Medial (1002)	Brazil	-4.78	-66.25	80*	Terra firme	1	27.02	286.43/274.64	2.13	2512	26.64	15.20	-0.103
-	Iuruá (Munguba) IUR-03	IUR-03	da Silva, Lisboa.	Brazil	-4.95	-66.58	80*	Terra firme	-	30.73	324.89/312.36	2.15	2551	26.43	15.20	-0.099
•	5		Maciel (1992)													
Ĺ	Juruá (Nej-I)	JUR-04	da Silva, Lisboa,	Brazil	-4.67	-66.17	80*	Terra firme	1	29.75	315.86/302.4	2.13	2512	26.64	15.20	-0.103
			Maciel (1992)													
~	Mare	MAE-01	Milliken (1998)	Brazil	-1.75	-61.25	50*	Terra firme	1	27.95	307.64/283.71	2.18	2272	26.97	15.31	-0.237
~	Marabá: UA1	MAR-01	Salomao et al.	Brazil	-5.73	-49.05	06	Terra firme	2	20.39	219.96/207.09	5.33	1956	26.64	15.83	-0.173
C			(unpublished data)													
	Marabá: UA2	MAR-02	Salomao et al.	Brazil	-5.7	-49.03	06	Terra firme	2	28.52	307.65/289.65	5.33	1956	26.64	15.83	-0.173
06			(unpublished data)													
	Marabá: UA3	$MAR-03^3$	Salomao et al.	Brazil	-5.7	-49	06	Terra firme	2	31.20	336.53/316.84	5.59	1850	26.51	15.98	-0.181
e A			(unpublished data)													
uth	Mocambo	MBO-01	Pires & Salamao	Brazil	-1.45	-48.45	24	Terra firme	7	27.73	301.15/270.37	2.82	2933	26.67	16.15	-0.133
ors			(2000)													

© 2006 The Authors Journal compilation © 2006 Blackwell Publishing Ltd, *Global Change Biology*, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2006.01120.x

Table A1. (Contd)

	Plot Name	Plot Code		Country	Latitude (decimal)	Elev Longitude tion (decimal) (m)	Eleva- tion (m)	Forest Type	Plot Size (ha)	Basal area (m <sup>2</sup> ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Biomass <sup>+</sup> (Mg DW ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Mean number of months <100 mm of rainfall	Mean monthly rainfall (mm)	Mean monthly tempera- ture (°C)	Mean monthly solar (MJ m <sup>-2</sup> day <sup>-1</sup> )	ENSO- rainfall correla- tion
Run         And         Baral         -734	PARNA Serra do Divisor: Serra da	PAA-01 <sup>1,2,3</sup>		Brazil	-7.44	-73.67	200*	Terra firme	Ц	8.87	88.55/82.09	3.00	1953	26.42	15.39	-0.073
norm         norm $-6.2$ <td>aquirana PARNA Serra do</td> <td>PAA-02</td> <td>Silveira <i>et al</i>.</td> <td>Brazil</td> <td>-7.54</td> <td>-73.28</td> <td>200*</td> <td>Terra firme</td> <td>1</td> <td>31.82</td> <td>317.83/310.23</td> <td>2.82</td> <td>2028</td> <td>26.47</td> <td>15.48</td> <td>-0.098</td>	aquirana PARNA Serra do	PAA-02	Silveira <i>et al</i> .	Brazil	-7.54	-73.28	200*	Terra firme	1	31.82	317.83/310.23	2.82	2028	26.47	15.48	-0.098
Serue do         PA-05         Stevia et al.         Bazil $-5.6$ $-7.28$ $00^{-1}$ $-4.13$	PARNA Serra do PARNA Serra do Divisor: Rio		(unpublished data) Silveira <i>et al.</i> (unpublished data)	Brazil	-8.27	-73.23	200*	Terra firme	1	18.35	183.45/169.82	3.08	2048	26.41	15.61	-0.085
Rolutudi         (unpublished data)         (unpublished data)         253         263         263         263         263         1605           Molpunić RES-01         Silvena et al.         Bazil $-10.6$ $-17.5$ $10^{\circ}$ Tera firme $1$ $26.5$ $266.15/245.9$ $251$ $259$ $15.90$ Molpunić RES-01         Silvena et al.         Bazil $-908$ $-72.68$ $200^{\circ}$ Tera firme $1$ $20.72$ $2591$ $2591$ $15.90$ Silvena et al.         Bazil $-908$ $-72.68$ $200^{\circ}$ Tera firme $1$ $30.7294.86$ $251$ $2591$ $15.90$ Silven et al.         Bazil $-10.8$ $200^{\circ}$ Tera firme $1$ $31.32$ $317.11/31792$ $4.56$ $16.87$ $16.87$ Silven et al.         Bazil $-10.8^{\circ}$ $200^{\circ}$ Tera firme $1$ $31.87/31541$ $446$ $58.94/551$ $16.87$ Silven et al.         Bazil $-10.8^{\circ}$ $200^{\circ}$ Tera firme $1$ $24.64$ $26.47/550.$	PARNA Serra do	PAA-05	Silveira <i>et al</i> .	Brazil	-8.56	-72.88	200*	Terra firme	1	24.15	241.62/245.24	2.74	2171	26.34	15.77	-0.059
Mtol Juruiz RES-01         impondished data (upublished data) $-9.08$ $-72.68$ $200^\circ$ Tera firme         1 $26.58$ $266.15/245.99$ $227$ $2591$ $15.99$ Sio Joio         (upublished data)         mupublished data) $-9.08$ $-72.68$ $200^\circ$ Tera firme         1 $30.2/284.86$ $251^\circ$ $2591$ $15.99$ Allo Juruiz RES-05         Silveira <i>et al.</i> Bazil $-9.08$ $200^\circ$ Tera firme         1 $30.73/284.86$ $251^\circ$ $2591$ $15.99$ Chico         RES-04         Silveira <i>et al.</i> Bazil $-10.82$ $-68.77$ $20^\circ$ Tera firme         1 $31.321/281.84.65$ $15.91^\circ$ $16.87^\circ$ Seringal         (upublished data)         Escrit <i>et al.</i> Bazil $-10.87^\circ$ $268.72^\circ$ $14.96^\circ$ $13.13724.446$ $18.70^\circ$ $16.87^\circ$ Chico         RES-06         Silveira <i>et al.</i> Bazil $-10.57^\circ$ $268.32$ $209.47'260.11$ $43.66^\circ$ $16.87^\circ$ $16.87^\circ$ Chico         RES-06         Silveira <i>et al.</i>	Divisor: Rio Juruá Peixe-Boi		(unpublished data) Salomao <i>et al.</i>	Brazil	-1	-47.5	10*	Terra firme	б	23.30	253.04/256.53	3.85	2553	26.34	16.05	-0.205
Allo Junuis RE5-02 Silvebra et al. and the late of the late	kESEX Alto Juruá kringal São Ioão	:: RES-01	Silveira et al.	Brazil	-9.08	-72.68	200*	Terra firme	1	26.58	266.15/245.99	2.51	2227	25.91	15.99	-0.074
tricio         RE-01         Silveira et d.         Bazil $-10.82$ $-68.77$ $20^\circ$ Tera firme         1 $31.32$ $317.11/317.92$ $4.36$ $1904$ $25.82$ $16.87$ bal         (upublished data)         (upublished data)         Bazil $-10.82$ $-68.77$ $20^\circ$ Tera firme         1 $249.47/250.11$ $4.36$ $1904$ $25.82$ $16.87$ bal         (upublished data)         mpublished data)         Bazil $-10.57$ $-68.32$ $200^\circ$ Tera firme         1 $249.47/250.11$ $4.36$ $18.70$ $16.87$ bal         (upublished data)         (upublished data)         Bazil $-10.57$ $-68.32$ $200^\circ$ Tera firme         1 $31.487/315.41$ $4.46$ $1870$ $25.92$ $16.87$ bio 2         Stlveira et al.         Bazil $-10.57$ $-68.32$ $200^\circ$ Tera firme         1 $31.487/315.41$ $4.6$ $1870$ $25.92$ $16.87$ bio 3         (upublished data)         (upublished data)         Estina et al.         Bazil <td>kESEX Alto Juruá eringal</td> <td>: RES-02</td> <td>(unpublished data) (unpublished data)</td> <td>Brazil</td> <td>-9.08</td> <td>-72.68</td> <td>200*</td> <td>Terra firme</td> <td>Ц</td> <td>30.78</td> <td>308.2/284.86</td> <td>2.51</td> <td>2227</td> <td>25.91</td> <td>15.99</td> <td>-0.074</td>	kESEX Alto Juruá eringal	: RES-02	(unpublished data) (unpublished data)	Brazil	-9.08	-72.68	200*	Terra firme	Ц	30.78	308.2/284.86	2.51	2227	25.91	15.99	-0.074
	kestauração RESEX Chico Aendes: Seringal	RES-03	Silveira <i>et al.</i> (unpublished data)	Brazil	-10.82	-68.77	20*	Terra firme	1	31.32	317.11/317.92	4.36	1904	25.82	16.87	-0.015
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	orongaba 1 ESEX Chico Aendes: Seringal	RES-04	Silveira <i>et al.</i> (unpublished data)	Brazil	-10.82	-68.77	200*	Terra firme	1	24.64	249.47/250.11	4.36	1904	25.82	16.87	-0.015
Disco       RES-06 <sup>12.3</sup> Silveira <i>et al.</i> Brazil       -10.57       -68.32       200*       Terra firme       1       14.97       151.76/152.02       4.46       1870       25.94       16.72         : Seringal       (unpublished data)       (unpublished data)       1       26.07       271.38/240.55       5.15       1567       25.35       16.61         años 2       km 15       ROR-01       Thomsen PhD thesis       Brazil       -11.33       -63.5       375*       Terra firme       1       26.07       271.38/240.55       5.15       1567       25.35       16.61         km 15       ROR-01       Thomsen PhD thesis       Brazil       -11       -62.25       200*       Terra firme       1       26.07       271.38/240.55       5.15       1567       25.35       16.61         km 15       ROR-02       Thomsen PhD thesis       Brazil       -11       -62.25       200*       Terra firme       1       26.07       271.38/240.55       5.15       1567       25.35       16.61         km 15       ROR-02       Vieine <i>et al.</i> Brazil       -11.07       -47.78       10*       Terra firme       1       26.26       285.138.06       4.97       16.97       26.50	orongaba 2 tESEX Chico Aendes: Seringal Dois Irmãos 1	RES-05	Silveira <i>et al.</i> (unpublished data)	Brazil	-10.57	-68.32	200*	Terra firme	1	31.06	314.87/315.41	4.46	1870	25.94	16.72	-0.019
km 90       ROR-01       Thomsen PhD thesis       Brazil $-11.33$ $-63.5$ $375^*$ Terra firme       1 $26.07$ $271.38/240.55$ $5.15$ $1567$ $25.35$ $16.61$ $-16.01$ $-10.7$ $-47.78$ $10^*$ Terra firme $1$ $34.47$ $338.82/318.06$ $4.97$ $1697$ $25.79$ $16.53$ $-16.53$ $-107$ $-47.78$ $10^*$ Terra firme $1$ $26.26$ $285.18/299.12$ $34.67$ $25.79$ $16.53$ $-16.53$ $-107$ $-47.78$ $10^*$ Terra firme $1$ $26.26$ $285.18/299.12$ $3.46$ $2754$ $26.50$ $16.14$ $-16.53$ $-16.73$ $-16.73$ $-16.73$ $16.75$ $25.74$ $26.50$ $16.14$ $-16.53$ $-16.53$ $-16.33$ $116.16$ $-16.52$ $26.38$ $116.76$ $26.16$ $16.53$ $26.50$ $16.14$ $-16.52$ $26.38$ $116.76$ $26.52$ $16.61$ $-16.52$ $16.57$ $26.50$ $16.14$ $-16.52$ $16.64$ $16.55$ $26.19$ $15.67$ $26.16$ $15.67$ $216.3$	tesex Chico tesex Chico Aendes: Seringal Dois Irmãos 2	RES-06 <sup>1,2,3</sup>		Brazil	-10.57	-68.32	200*	Terra firme	1	14.97	151.76/152.02	4.46	1870	25.94	16.72	-0.019
ia: Samuel SAM-01 Foster Brown <i>et al.</i> Brazil -8.75 -63.38 110* Terra firme 1 25.10 264.55/254.51 3.90 2163 26.19 15.67 ectric (1995) ectric (1995) ir	(O-429 km 90 (O-429 km 15 ao Francisco Io Para	ROR-01 ROR-02 SAF-01	Thomsen PhD thesis Thomsen PhD thesis Vieira <i>et al.</i> (umuhlished data)	Brazil Brazil Brazil	-11.33 -11 -1.07	-63.5 -62.25 -47.78	375* 200* 10*	Terra firme Terra firme Terra firme		26.07 34.47 26.26	271.38/240.55 358.82/318.06 285.18/289.12	5.15 4.97 3.46	1567 1697 2754	25.35 25.79 26.50	16.61 16.53 16.14	-0.030 -0.022 -0.162
	kondonia: Samue Jydroelectric keservoir	l SAM-01	Foster Brown <i>et al.</i> (1995)	Brazil	-8.75	-63.38	110*	Terra firme	1	25.10	264.55/254.51	3.90	2163	26.19	15.67	0.003

© 2006 The Authors

Table A1. (Contd.)

Journal compilation © 2006 Blackwell Publishing Ltd, Global Change Biology, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2006.01120.x

BIOMASS IN AMAZONIAN FORESTS 25

											Mean number	Mean	Mean monthly	Mean monthly	ENSO-
				Latitude	Elev Longitude tion	Eleva- e tion	Forest	Plot Size	Basal area	Biomass <sup>+</sup> (Mg DW	of months monthly <100 mm rainfall	monthly rainfall	tempera- ture	solar (MJ m <sup>-2</sup>	rainfall correla-
Plot Name	Plot Code		Country	(decimal)	(decimal)	(m)	Type	(ha)	$(m^2 ha^{-1})$	$ha^{-1}$ )	of rainfall	(mm)	(°C)	$day^{-1}$ )	tion
Rio Gelado Serra	ra SER-01	da Silva, Rosa,	Brazil	-6.33	-50.25	500*	Terra firme	1	17.54	189.4/185.41	4.85	1973	25.64	15.65	-0.137
Norte Carajás		Oliveira (1987)													
Pojuca Itacaiúnas	as SER-02	da Silva, Rosa (1989),	Brazil	9-	-50.25	760*	Terra firme	1	20.27	218.92/205.74	4.85	1973	25.64	15.65	-0.137
Serra Norte		Thomsen Phd thesis													
Carajás															
Three-Alpha	SER-03	da Silva, Rosa (1989),	Brazil	-6	-50.25	760*	Terra firme	1	22.66	244.73/230	4.85	1973	25.64	15.65	-0.137
Itacaiúnas Serra	B	Thomsen Phd thesis													
Norte Carajás															
Aeroporto Serra	a SER-04	da Silva et al. (1986),	Brazil	9-	-50.25	760*	Terra firme	1	27.72	299.38/281.36	4.85	1973	25.64	15.65	-0.137
Norte Carajás		Thomsen Phd thesis													
Minas Serra	SER-05	Salomao, da Silva,	Brazil	-6	-50.17	760*	Terra firme	1	21.59	233.23/228.22	4.85	1973	25.64	15.65	-0.137
Norte Carajás		Rosa (1988), Thomsen													
		Phd													
Tapajos RP014	TAP-01	J.M.N. Silva,	Brazil	-2.75	-55	20*	Terra firme	1	26.89	300.06	4.51	1968	26.33	16.17	-0.284
1-4		(unpublished data)													
Tapajos RP014	TAP-02	J.M.N. Silva,	Brazil	-2.75	-55	20*	Terra firme	1	31.31	374.63	4.51	1968	26.33	16.17	-0.284
5-8		(unpublished data)													
Tapajos RP014	TAP-03	J.M.N. Silva,	Brazil	-2.75	-55	20*	Terra firme	1	34.39	375.9	4.51	1968	26.33	16.17	-0.284
9–12		(unpublished data)													
Trombetas 1	TRO-01	Salomao <i>et al</i> .	Brazil	-1.5	-56.5	50*	Terra firme	1	30.50	334.46/336.21	4.31	2023	27.08	15.98	-0.353
		(unpublished data)													
Trombetas 2	TRO-02	Salomao et al.	Brazil	-1.5	-56.5	50*	Terra firme	1	22.10	242.35/243.62	4.31	2023	27.08	15.98	-0.353
		(unpublished data)													
Urucu	URU-01	Peres (1994)	Brazil	-4.85	-65.27	$100^{*}$	Terra firme	Ŋ	33.20	353.89/337.43	2.00	2419	26.71	15.23	-0.101
Vizeu	VIZ-01	Vieira et al.	Brazil	-1.88	-46.75	$10^{*}$	Terra firme	7	30.37	328.6/334.37	4.05	2369	26.47	16.12	-0.240
Xingu: Arawete	e XIN-01 <sup>1,2,3</sup>		Brazil	-4.82	-52.52	35*	Terra firme	1	22.10	240.05/224.35	4.41	2028	26.10	15.39	-0.177
		(1990)													
Xingu: Asurini	XIN-02 <sup>1,2,3</sup>	Balee & Campbell	Brazil	-4.75	-52.6	35*	Terra firme	1	21.90	237.93/222.33	4.41	2028	26.10	15.39	-0.177
		(1990)													
	XIN-03 <sup>1,2,3</sup>	Campbell et al. (1986) Brazil	Brazil	-3.48	-51.67	175*	Terra firme	1	27.63	300.57/280.49	4.77	2085	26.59	15.31	-0.181
Weserto 2	XIN-04	Campbell et al. (1986) Brazil	Brazil	-3.48	-51.67	175*	Terra firme	1	32.14	349.63/326.27	4.77	2085	26.59	15.31	-0.181
	XIN-05	Campbell et al. (1986) Brazil	Brazil	-3.48	-51.67	175*	Terra firme	1	28.27	307.49/286.95	4.77	2085	26.59	15.31	-0.181
		ï													

 $\odot$  2006 The Authors

Deserto 3

Journal compilation © 2006 Blackwell Publishing Ltd, Global Change Biology, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2006.01120.x

Table A1. (Contd.)

						Eleva-		Plot	Basal	Biomass +	Mean number of months	Mean number Mean of monthly	Mean monthly tempera-	Mean monthly solar	ENSO- rainfall
Plot Name	Plot Code		Country	Latitude (decimal)	Longitude tion (decimal) (m)	tion (m)	Forest Type	Size (ha)	area $(m^2 ha^{-1})$	$(MgDW ha^{-1})$	<100 mm of rainfall	rainfall (mm)	ture (°C)	$(\mathrm{MJ}\mathrm{m}^{-2})$ day <sup>-1</sup> )	correla- tion
Amacayacu:	AML-01	Rudas, Prieto pers	Colombia	-3.68	-70.3	$100^{*}$	Terra firme	2	33.28	340.46/338.25	1.10	2619	25.83	15.31	-0.154
Lorena		comm.													
Anangu A1	ANN-01	Korning & Balslev (1994)	Ecuador	-0.53	-76.43	250	Seasonally flooded	1.1	33.46	327.25/309.06	0.31	3252	25.97	15.23	-0.158
Anangu A2	ANN-02	Korning & Balslev (1994)	Ecuador	-0.53	-76.43	310	Terra firme	1	33.82	330.82/312.44	0.31	3252	25.97	15.23	-0.158
Anangu A3	ANN-03	Korning & Balslev	Ecuador	-0.53	-76.43	370	Terra firme	1	24.00	234.76/221.72	0.31	3252	25.97	15.23	-0.158
(Korning plot 2)		(1994)													
Bogi 1	BOG-01	RAINFOR	Ecuador	-0.7	-76.48	270*	Terra firme	1	30.78	299.61	0.31	3252	25.97	15.23	-0.158
		(unpublished data)													
Bogi 2	BOG-02	RAINFOR	Ecuador	-0.7	-76.47	270*	Terra firme	1	26.00	232.88	0.31	3252	25.97	15.23	-0.158
		(unpublished data)													
Bogi 3	BOG-03	Pitman et al. (2001)	Ecuador	-0.69	-76.47	270*	Terra firme	1	28.70	280.61/265.61	0.31	3252	25.97	15.23	-0.158
Bogi 4	BOG-04	Pitman et al. (2001)	Ecuador	-0.7	-76.47	270*	Terra firme	1	37.30	364.71/345.2	0.31	3252	25.97	15.23	-0.158
Bogi 5	BOG-05 <sup>1,2</sup>	Pitman et al. (2001)	Ecuador	-0.7	-76.48	270*	Terra firme	1	18.90	184.74/174.91	0.31	3252	25.97	15.23	-0.158
Capiron	CAN-01	Pitman et al. (2001)	Ecuador	-0.63	-76.46	240*	Terra firme	1	35.30	345.17/326.69	0.31	3252	25.97	15.23	-0.158
Jatun Sacha 2	$JAS-02^3$	RAINFOR	Ecuador	-1.07	-77.6	450	Terra firme	1	29.78	273.53	0.18	4013	23.31	15.19	-0.013
		(unpublished data)													
Jatun Sacha 3	JAS-03	RAINFOR	Ecuador	-1.07	-77.67	450	Terra firme	1	30.58	282.63	0.18	4013	23.31	15.19	-0.013
		(unpublished data)													
Jatun Sacha 4	JAS-04	RAINFOR	Ecuador	-1.07	-77.67	450	Terra firme	0.92	36.99	332.9	0.18	4013	23.31	15.19	-0.013
		(unpublished data)													
Jatun Sacha 5	JAS-05	RAINFOR	Ecuador	-1.07	-77.67	450	Terra firme	1	35.25	304.84	0.18	4013	23.31	15.19	-0.013
Damino	DAV 01	(utipublished data)	Emiodor	270	76.03	0 7 1 * 1 0	Town firms	-	00 EU	700 77 / 788 58	960	12121	75.00	15 10	0 195
Dimus		Ditumn of al (2001)	Ecuador	0.56	76.45	0.17 0.75*	Touro firmo		27 QU	360 6 / 310 83	0.21	3757	07.07 75.07	15.02	0.150
L ILAIIA Shimati 1	CHIL-01	$\begin{array}{c} \text{IIIIIall et al. (2001)} \\ \text{Pitman at al (2001)} \end{array}$	Ecuador	00.50	76 54	275*	Torra firmo		00.70	755 06 /741 55	10.0	2020	75 78	15 17	0.137
Jupau 1			Ecuadol	70.0-	+C.0/-			- ,	01.04		07.0	7040		/1.01	701.0-
Shipati 2	SHI-02	Pitman <i>et al.</i> (2001)	Ecuador	-0.51	-76.54	275*	Terra firme		34.00	332.26/314.66	0.26	3482	25.78	15.17	-0.132
Shipati 3	SHI-03	Pitman <i>et al.</i> (2001)	Ecuador	-0.52	-76.54	275*	Terra firme	-	38.50	376.23/356.31	0.26	3482	25.78	15.17	-0.132
Shiripuno	SHR-01	Pitman et al. (2001)	Ecuador	-1.02	-76.98	300*	Terra firme	1	22.90	223.53/211.93	0.13	3498	25.69	15.24	-0.087
Tiputini 2	TIP-02	RAINFOR	Ecuador	-0.63	-76.14	275*	Terra firme	0.8	27.98	273.24	0.31	3252	25.97	15.23	-0.158
		(unpublished data)													
Tiputini 3	TIP-03	RAINFOR	Ecuador	-0.64	-76.15	275*	Seasonally	1	24.17	260.54	0.31	3252	25.97	15.23	-0.158
		(unpublished data)					flooded								
Saint Elie Transect ELI-01	t ELI-01	Chave (2001)	French	5.5	-53	25*	Terra firme	0.78	35.56	385.83/361.65	3.28	3000	26.32	18.15	-0.178
1 (0.78 ha)			Guiana												

© 2006 The Authors

Table A1. (Contd.)

				Latitude	Elev Longitude tion	Eleva- tion	Forest	Plot Size	Basal area	Biomass <sup>+</sup> (Mg DW	number of months <100 mm	Mean s monthly rainfall	monthly tempera- ture	monthly solar (MJ m <sup>-2</sup>	ENSO- rainfall correla-
Plot Name	Plot Code		Country	(decimal)	(decimal)	(m)	Type	(ha)	$(m^2 ha^{-1})$	$ha^{-1}$ )	of rainfall	(mm)	(C)	$day^{-1}$ )	tion
Saint Elie Transect ELI-02 2 (1 ha)	ELI-02	Chave (2001)	French Guiana	5.5	-53	25*	Terra firme	1	38.57	418.48/392.26	3.28	3000	26.32	18.15	-0.178
Nouragues PP	NOR-01	Chave (2001)	French Guiana	4.08	-52.67	110	Terra firme	12	31.00	336.23/319.3	2.59	3155	25.34	17.60	-0.231
Nouragues GP	NOR-02	Chave (2001)	French	4.08	-52.67	110	Terra firme	10	28.23	306.18/290.77	2.59	3155	25.34	17.60	-0.231
Paracou	PAR	Gourlet-Fleury & Houllier (2000)	French Guiana	5.25	-52.83	25*	Terra firme	6.25	30.77	334.34/326.58	3.28	3000	26.32	18.15	-0.178
Berbice River Kwakwani 1	BER-01	Comiskey et al. (1994) Guyana	Guyana	5.5	-58	50*	Terra firme	1	23.68	255.54/255.7	2.85	2505	26.78	17.57	-0.193
Berbice River Kwakwani 2	BER-02	Comiskey et al. (1994) Guyana	Guyana	5.5	-58	50*	Terra firme	1	27.56	297.41/297.6	2.85	2505	26.78	17.57	-0.193
Kurupukari: Mora KUR-01	KUR-01	Johnston & Gillman (1995)	Guyana	4.58	-58.72	120*	Terra firme	1	24.33	263.22/262.72	2.26	3052	26.10	17.73	-0.188
Kurupukari: TA2	KUR-02	Johnston & Gillman (1995)	Guyana	4.58	-58.72	120*	Terra firme	1	28.43	307.57/307	2.26	3052	26.10	17.73	-0.188
Kurupukari: TA12 KUR-03	KUR-03	Johnston & Gillman (1995)	Guyana	4.58	-58.72	120*	Terra firme	1	26.55	287.23/286.69	2.26	3052	26.10	17.73	-0.188
Kurupukari: TA19 KUR-04	KUR-04	Johnston & Gillman (1995)	Guyana	4.58	-58.72	120*	Terra firme	1	26.55	287.23/286.69	2.26	3052	26.10	17.73	-0.188
North West District: Kariako	NWD-01	van Andel (2001)	Guyana	7.42	-59.73	30*	Terra firme	1	32.91	352.56/339.63	1.97	2555	25.72	17.32	-0.191
North West District: Santa	NWD-02	van Andel (2001)	Guyana	7.6	-58.95	30*	Terra firme	1	34.55	370.6/356.56	2.67	2379	26.34	17.95	-0.179
Rosa BCI 50 ha	BCI-50		Panama	9.17	-79.85	30*	n/n	50	28.56	290.22/294.17	3.54	2912	25.96	17.91	-0.057
Allpahuayo C	ALP-30	RAINFOR (unpublished data)	Peru	-3.95	-73.42	120*	Terra firme	1	23.13	232.44/239.47	0.77	2763	26.46	15.69	-0.028
Amigos downriver 1	AMD-01	Pitman <i>et al.</i> (2001)	Peru	-12.53	-70.08	230*	Terra firme	1	25.80	261.08/261.66	0.77	4027	24.26	18.08	-0.043
Amigos downriver 2	AMD-02	Pitman et al. (2001)	Peru	-12.53	-70.08	230*	Terra firme	1	22.90	231.73/232.25	0.77	4027	24.26	18.08	-0.043
Amigos upriver 1 AMU-01 Amigos upriver 2 AMU-02 Barranco BAC-01	AMU-01 AMU-02 BAC-01	Pitman <i>et al.</i> (2001) Pitman <i>et al.</i> (2001) Pitman <i>et al.</i> (2001)	Peru Peru Peru	-12.5 -12.5 -11.88	-70.1 -70.1 -71.38	230* 230* 400*	Terra firme Terra firme Terra firme	$\begin{array}{c} 1\\ 1\\ 0.88 \end{array}$	27.90 25.30 32.05	282.3/282.96 255.99/256.59 323.31/325.05	0.77 0.77 1.59	4027 4027 3043	24.26 24.26 24.56	18.08 18.08 17.44	-0.043 -0.043 -0.121

Table A1. (Contd)

rainfall -0.116-0.116correla--0.063-0.116-0.116-0.116-0.116-0.116-0.116-0.116-0.116-0.116-0.032ENSO-0.0500.0500.0340.034 -0.027tion monthly (MJ m<sup>-</sup>  $day^{-1}$ ) Mean 17.25 17.25 17.82 17.82 15.63solar 17.25 17.25 17.25 17.25 17.25 17.25 17.25 16.33 17.25 17.25 17.92 17.92 15.41 temperamonthly Mean 23.66 23.66 23.66 23.66 23.66 23.66 23.66 23.66 25.37 25.09 26.43 26.81 22.39 23.66 23.66 23.66 25.37 25.09 ture 0 0 monthly rainfall Mean (mm) 2106 2244 2287 2287 2287 2287 2106 2162 2162 2623 2452 2287 2287 2287 2287 2287 2287 2287 of months of rainfall <100 mm number Mean 3.38 3.08 3.08 3.08 3.08 3.08 3.08 3.08 3.08 3.08 3.08 3.08 4.15 4.15 3.90 3.90 0.740.9718 223.37/225.03 192.12/193.55 352.21/354.81 303.12/306.68 281.44/284.74 303.52/307.29 340.63/344.86 214.59/217.04 321.94/311.71 248.25/244.42 288.1/290.23 290.23/295.3 279.92/282 275.88/279 (Mg DW Biomass 268.48272.58 308.51  $ha^{-1}$ ) 296.4 \_1)  $(m^2 ha^-$ 22.16 25.19 Basal 21.4028.15 31.97 19.06 27.77 30.20 28.58 28.24 25.07 29.11 34.9428.04 30.26 27.51 33.96 29.29 area Size Plot (ha) <u>\_</u> -----\_ ----\_ \_ -\_ \_ \_ -\_ \_ \_ ---------Terra firme Terra firme Seasonally flooded Forest Type Elevation 340 487 $^{487}$ 469 465 480 450 400 350 400 579  $100^{*}$ 350 200\* 200\* 200\*  $100^{*}$ 200\* (H Longitude (decimal) -68.95-73.73 -72.77 -72.93 -72.92 -72.97 -72.99 -72.77 -72.87 -72.92 95 -69.118 -72.7 -69.11-72.7 -72.7 75.3 -72.8 -68. (decimal) Latitude -11.78-11.78-11.86-11.78-11.88 -11.79-11.79-11.67-11.86-12.49-12.49-3.52-4.92 -10.33-11.69-11.8-12.5-12.5Country Peru Comiskey et al. (2001) Peru Peru Peru Peru Peru Peru Peru CAM-02<sup>1,2,3</sup> Comiskey et al. (2001) Peru Comiskey et al. (2001) Peru Comiskey et al. (2001) Peru CAM-10<sup>1,2,3</sup> Comiskey et al. (2001) Peru (unpublished data) (unpublished data) (unpublished data) (unpublished data) (unpublished data) (unpublished data) Gentry & Smith Nebel (2001) RAINFOR RAINFOR RAINFOR RAINFOR RAINFOR CAM-03 Plot Cod€ CAM-01<sup>1</sup> CAM-08 CAM-09 Camisea: Pagoreni CAM-04 CAM-11 CAM-05 CAM-06 CAM-07 Cuzco Amazonico CUZ-01 Cuzco Amazonico CUZ-02 Cuzco Amazonico CUZ-03 CAB-01 Cuzco Amazonico CUZ-04 JEN-01 IND-01 Cabeza de Mono Shivankoreni-2 Shivankoreni-Camisea: San Restinga Plot Camisea: San Camisea: Las Cashirirari 2 Cashirirari 3 Jenaro High Segakiato-2 CUZAMIU Segakiato-1 **CUZAM1E** CUZAM2E CUZAM2U Martin-3.2 Plot Name Martin-3.1 Camisea: Camisea: Malvinas Camisea: Camisea: Camisea: Camisea: Peruanita Camisea: Indiana

© 2006 The Authors

Table A1. (Contd.)

	Plot Name	Plot Code		Country	Latitude (decimal)	Elev Longitude tion (decimal) (m)	Eleva- tion (m)	Forest Type	Plot Size (ha)	Basal area (m <sup>2</sup> ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Biomass <sup>+</sup> (Mg DW ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Mean number of months <100 mm of rainfall	Mean monthly rainfall (mm)	Mean monthly tempera- ture (°C)	Mean monthly solar (MJ m <sup>-2</sup> day <sup>-1</sup> )	ENSO- rainfall correla- tion
International base of the state o		JEN-02	Nebel (2001)	Peru	-4.92	-73.73	100*	Seasonally flooded		25.03	247.85/244.03	0.97	2452	26.81	15.41	-0.032
Low $[EV041^{-1}]$ Neel (201)         Per $-42$ $-73$ $10^{\circ}$ Secondly $1$ $198$ $96.85/193.8$ $07$ $262$ $281$ $15$ $gp 064$ Evolution         Peru $-42$ $-732$ $10^{\circ}$ Secondly $1$ $272$ $243.45/3.07$ $027$ $263$ $563$ $5641$ $fuonds         Evolution         Peru         -42 -732 10^{\circ}         Secondly         1 272 264.37.56.16 0561 564 564 564 564 564 564 566 566 566 5661 5661 5661 5664$		JEN-03	Nebel (2001)	Peru	-4.92	-73.73	$100^{*}$	Seasonally flooded	1	26.02	257.65/253.68	0.97	2452	26.81	15.41	-0.032
Low         JBVd5         Nekel (200)         Fer $-422$ $-737$ IO         Seasonally         I $236$ $2433/230.7$ $027$ $262$ $263$ $263$ $564$ $564$ $5664$ $5664$ $5664$ $5664$ $56664$ $56664$ $56664$ $56664$ $56664$ $56664$ $56664$ $5663/253.17$ $597$ $262$ $563$ $56377$ $5$	naro Low estinga Plot 4	JEN-04 <sup>1,2,3</sup>	Nebel (2001)	Peru	-4.92	-73.73	$100^{*}$	Seasonally flooded	1	19.88	196.85/193.82	0.97	2452	26.81	15.41	-0.032
Low         JR-06         Nebel (2001)         Pen $-4.32$ $-7.37$ 10°         Seasonally         1 $27.0$ $269.3/26.15$ $0.7$ $263.3/26.15$ $0.7$ $263.3/26.15$ $263.3/26.16$ $26$	ß	JEN-05	Nebel (2001)	Peru	-4.92	-73.73	$100^{*}$	Seasonally flooded		23.67	234.38/230.77	0.97	2452	26.81	15.41	-0.032
Table and participation         Index (2001)         Feu $-42$ $7.37$ $100^{\circ}$ $2863$ $2873$ </td <td>9</td> <td>JEN-06</td> <td>Nebel (2001)</td> <td>Peru</td> <td>-4.92</td> <td>-73.73</td> <td><math>100^{*}</math></td> <td>Seasonally flooded</td> <td>-</td> <td>27.20</td> <td>269.34/265.18</td> <td>0.97</td> <td>2452</td> <td>26.81</td> <td>15.41</td> <td>-0.032</td>	9	JEN-06	Nebel (2001)	Peru	-4.92	-73.73	$100^{*}$	Seasonally flooded	-	27.20	269.34/265.18	0.97	2452	26.81	15.41	-0.032
	Tahuampa	JEN-07	Nebel (2001)	Peru	-4.92	-73.73	$100^{*}$	Seasonally flooded		27.14	268.74/264.6	0.97	2452	26.81	15.41	-0.032
	Tahuampa	JEN-08	Nebel (2001)	Peru	-4.92	-73.73	$100^{*}$	Seasonally flooded	1	28.84	285.58/281.17	0.97	2452	26.81	15.41	-0.032
Jehrens       Ford       Spichger et al. (1996)       Fen $-4.92$ $-7.3.7$ 10°       Terra firme       1       23.60       23.69/2300       0.77       2452       26.81       15.41         iger       11.2       MA1-0       Pinnan et al. (2001)       Peu $-11.8$ $-71.47$ $400^\circ$ Terra firme       1 $30.10$ $30.359/30.26$ $15.92$ $24.56$ $7.44$ alluvial       MNU-01       Pinnan et al. (2001)       Peu $-11.8$ $-71.47$ $400^\circ$ Terra firme       1 $32.60$ $30.359/30.26$ $15.46$ $7.44$ alluvial       MNU-01       Pinnan et al. (2001)       Peu $-11.8$ $-71.35$ $400^\circ$ Terra firme $1$ $32.60$ $30.359/30.59$ $15.90$ $30.43$ $24.56$ $7.44$ alluvial       MNU-01       Peuvogh et al.       Peuv $-11.8$ $-71.35$ $400^\circ$ Terra firme $2$ $26.41$ $59/395.56$ $15.46$ $7.44$ alluvial       MNU-06       Terra firme       NU-07       Terra firme $2$ $26.41$ $269/30.56$ $15.46$ $17.44$	naro Tahuampa lot 9	JEN-09	Nebel (2001)	Peru	-4.92	-73.73	$100^{*}$	Seasonally flooded	1	28.24	279.64/275.32	0.97	2452	26.81	15.41	-0.032
Note         Note         Note         Tera fittee         1         30.10         30.35/30.25         1.59         30.43         2.4.56         17.44           1         MA1-02         Pituman <i>et al.</i> (2001)         Peu         -11.8         -71.47         400*         Tera fittee         1         32.60         33.83/33.81.59         30.43         24.56         17.44           1 alluvial         MNU-01         Pitman <i>et al.</i> (2001)         Peu         -11.8         -71.35         400*         Tera fittee         1         32.60         33.83/33.81.59         30.43         24.56         17.44           1 alluvial         MNU-01         Pitman <i>et al.</i> (2001)         Peu         -11.88         -71.35         400         Tera fittee         1         23.60         33.83/33.81.59         30.43         24.56         17.44           1 alluvial         MNU-04         Terborgh <i>et al.</i> Peu         -11.88         -71.35         400         Tera fittee         2         26.41         29         30.43         24.56         17.44           1 cabiny lebel data)         Peu         -11.88         -71.35         400         Tera fittee         2         26.41         266.42/267.85         159         30.43         24.5	errera:	JEN-10	Spichiger et al. (1996)	Peru	-4.92	-73.73	100*	Terra firme		23.60	233.69/230.09	0.97	2452	26.81	15.41	-0.032
		MAI-01	Pitman et al. (2001)	Peru	-11.8	-71.47	400*	Terra firme	1	30.10	303.59/302.95	1.59	3043	24.56	17.44	-0.121
a alluvial       MNU-01       Priman et al. (2001)       Peru       -11.88       -71.35       400       Karely flooded $0.97$ $29.54$ $295/29.59$ $1.59$ $3043$ $24.56$ $17.44$ a Cashu Trail       MNU-01       Terborgh et al.       Peru       -11.88 $-71.35$ $400$ Terra firme $26.41$ $266.42/267.85$ $1.59$ $3043$ $24.56$ $17.44$ e M3       (upublished data)       Peru       -11.88 $-71.35$ $400$ Terra firme $2.244$ $39.44/351.32$ $1.59$ $3043$ $24.56$ $17.44$ e M3       (upublished data)       Peru       -11.88 $-71.35$ $400$ Terra firme $2.244/351.32$ $1.59$ $3043$ $24.56$ $17.44$ a alluvial       MNU-06       Terborgh et al.       Peru       -11.88 $-71.35$ $400$ Rarely flooded $2.23.44/351.32$ $1.59$ $3043$ $24.56$ $17.44$ a Cashu Trail       (upublished data)       Peru       -11.88 $-71.35$ $400$ Rarely flooded $2.53.44/351.32$ $1.59$ $3043$ $24.56$ $17.44$	laizal 2	MAI-02	Pitman et al. (2001)	Peru	-11.8	-71.47	400*	Terra firme		32.60	328.8/328	.III.59	3043	24.56	17.44	-0.121
Terborgh et al. (umpublished data)Peru $-11.88$ $-71.35$ $400$ Terra firme $2$ $26.42/267.85$ $1.59$ $3043$ $24.56$ $17.44$ (umpublished data)Peru $-11.88$ $-71.35$ $400$ Terra firme $2$ $287.1/288.64$ $1.59$ $3043$ $24.56$ $17.44$ (umpublished data)Peru $-11.88$ $-71.35$ $400$ Terra firme $2$ $2846$ $287.1/288.64$ $1.59$ $3043$ $24.56$ $17.44$ (umpublished data)Peru $-11.88$ $-71.35$ $400$ Rarely flooded $2$ $34.64$ $349.44/351.32$ $1.59$ $3043$ $24.56$ $17.44$ (umpublished data)Peru $-11.88$ $-71.35$ $400$ Rarely flooded $225$ $33.46$ $349.44/351.32$ $1.59$ $3043$ $24.56$ $17.44$ (umpublished data)Peru $-11.88$ $-71.35$ $400$ Rarely flooded $225$ $33.46$ $341.37/3432$ $1.59$ $3043$ $24.56$ $17.44$ $^{12}$ Terborgh et al.Peru $-11.88$ $-71.35$ $400$ Rarely flooded $225$ $33.84$ $341.37/3432$ $1.59$ $3043$ $24.56$ $17.44$ $^{12}$ Terborgh et al.Peru $-11.88$ $-71.35$ $400$ Rarely flooded $225$ $33.45/382.56$ $1.59$ $3043$ $24.56$ $17.44$ $^{12}$ Terborgh et al.Peru $-11.88$ $-71.3$ $400$ Rarely flooded $2$ $38.01$ $383.45/382.56$ <td>lanu alluvial ocha Cashu Trail M1</td> <td>MNU-01</td> <td>Pitman <i>et al.</i> (2001)</td> <td>Peru</td> <td>-11.88</td> <td>-71.35</td> <td>400</td> <td>Rarely floodec</td> <td></td> <td>29.54</td> <td>298/299.59</td> <td>1.59</td> <td>3043</td> <td>24.56</td> <td>17.44</td> <td>-0.121</td>	lanu alluvial ocha Cashu Trail M1	MNU-01	Pitman <i>et al.</i> (2001)	Peru	-11.88	-71.35	400	Rarely floodec		29.54	298/299.59	1.59	3043	24.56	17.44	-0.121
$ \begin{array}{c} \text{MNU-04} & \text{Terborgh $et$ al.} \\ \text{Terborgh $et$ al.} \\ \text{MNU-05} & \text{Terborgh $et$ al.} \\ \text{Terborgh $et$ al.} \\ \text{Umpublished data)} \\ \text{MNU-05} & \text{Terborgh $et$ al.} \\ \text{Umpublished data)} \\ \text{MNU-06} & \text{Terborgh $et$ al.} \\ \text{Umpublished data)} \\ \text{MNU-06} & \text{Terborgh $et$ al.} \\ \text{Umpublished data)} \\ \text{MNU-06} & \text{Terborgh $et$ al.} \\ \text{Umpublished data)} \\ \text{MNU-06} & \text{Terborgh $et$ al.} \\ \text{Umpublished data)} \\ \text{MNU-06} & \text{Terborgh $et$ al.} \\ \text{Umpublished data)} \\ \text{MNU-08}^{1.2} & \text{Terborgh $et$ al.} \\ \text{Umpublished data)} \\ \text{MNU-08}^{1.2} & \text{Terborgh $et$ al.} \\ \text{Umpublished data)} \\ \text{MNU-08}^{1.2} & \text{Terborgh $et$ al.} \\ \text{Umpublished data)} \\ \text{MNU-08}^{1.2} & \text{Terborgh $et$ al.} \\ \text{Umpublished data)} \\ \text{MNU-08}^{1.2} & \text{Terborgh $et$ al.} \\ \text{Terborgh $et$ al.} \\ \text{Peru} & -11.88 & -71.35 & 400 \\ \text{Varely flooded} & 2.25 & 33.84 \\ 33.84 & 341.37/343.2 & 1.59 & 3043 \\ 33.45/382.56 & 1.59 & 3043 \\ 3043 & 24.56 & 17.44 \\ 17.44 \\ \text{Varely flooded data)} \\ \text{MU-08}^{1.2} & \text{Terborgh $et$ al.} \\ \text{Umpublished data)} \\ \text{Varely flooded} & 2 & 38.01 \\ 383.45/382.56 & 1.59 & 3043 \\ 24.56 & 17.44 \\ 17.44 \\ \text{Varely flooded data)} \\ \text{Varely flooded} & 2 & 38.01 \\ 383.45/382.56 & 1.59 & 3043 \\ 24.56 & 17.44 \\ 17$	anu terra firme	MNU-03	Terborgh et al.	Peru	-11.88	-71.35	400	Terra firme	7	26.41	266.42/267.85	1.59	3043	24.56	17.44	-0.121
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	firme	MNU-04	(unpublished data) Terborgh <i>et al.</i> (unpublished data)	Peru	-11.88	-71.35	400	Terra firme	7	28.46	287.1/288.64	1.59	3043	24.56	17.44	-0.121
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	lanu alluvial ocha Cashu Trail ?	MNU-05	Terborgh <i>et al.</i> (unpublished data)	Peru	-11.88	-71.35	400	Rarely flooded		34.64	349.44/351.32	1.59	3043	24.56	17.44	-0.121
or MNU-08 <sup>1/2</sup> Terborgh <i>et al.</i> Peru –11.88 –71.3 400 Rarely flooded 2 38.01 383.45/382.56 1.59 3043 24.56 17.44 (unpublished data)	lanu alluvial ocha Cashu Trail & 31	WNU-06	Terborgh <i>et al.</i> (umpublished data)	Peru	-11.88	-71.35	400	Rarely flooded		33.84	341.37/343.2	1.59	3043	24.56	17.44	-0.121
	or	MNU-08 <sup>1,2</sup>		Peru	-11.88	-71.3	400	Rarely floodec		38.01	383.45/382.56	1.59	3043	24.56	17.44	-0.121

Journal compilation © 2006 Blackwell Publishing Ltd, Global Change Biology, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2006.01120.x

Table A1. (Contd)

Table A1. (Contd)	td.)														
											Mean number	Mean	Mean monthly	Mean monthly	ENSO-
						Eleva-		Plot	Basal	Biomass <sup>+</sup>	of months		tempera-	solar	
Plot Name	Plot Code		Country	Latitude (decimal)	Longitude tion (decimal) (m)	tion (m)	Forest Tvpe	Size (ha)	area $(m^2 ha^{-1})$	(MgDW ha <sup>-1</sup> )	<100 mm of rainfall	rainfall (mm)	ture (°C)	$(M] m^{-2}$ dav <sup>-1</sup> )	correla- tion
						Ì	- 11-	Ì					ì	Î	
Mishana	MSH-01 <sup>3</sup>	RAINFOR (unrublished data)	Peru	-3.78	-73.5	120*	Terra firme	1	29.43	295.91/304.69	0.77	2763	26.46	15.69	-0.028
Pakitza Manu	PAK-01	RAINFOR	Peru	-11.93	-71.25	400*	u/u	1	25.98	262.11/263.49	1.59	3043	24.56	17.44	-0.121
River dissected alluvial plot 1		(unpublished data)													
Pakitza Manu River alluvial plot	PAK-02 <sup>1,2,3</sup> ot	RAINFOR (unpublished data)	Peru	-11.93	-71.25	400*	u/u	1	37.20	375.31/377.28	1.59	3043	24.56	17.44	-0.121
2 Pakitza Manu	PAK-03	RAINFOR	Peni	-11.93	-71.25	400*	u/u		29.98	302.47/304.05	1.59	3043	24.56	17.44	-0.121
river swamp		(unpublished data)			l			,							
Pakitza Manu	PAK-04	RAINFOR	Peru	-11.93	-71.25	$400^{*}$	u/u	1	30.02	302.87/304.46	1.59	3043	24.56	17.44	-0.121
river swamp		(unpublished data)													
Rio Piedras	$RPI-01^3$	Terborgh et al.	Peru	-12.35	-69.23	200*	Terra firme	1	25.30	255.5/249.85	3.90	2162	25.09	17.82	0.034
		(unpublished data)		с с с	0 02	100*	Tourna Gumon	÷	00 20	0000	0 11	14.90	20.90	15 60	1000
Jucusari A	200-01	(unnublished data)	reru	07.0-	-14.7	1001	rerra mune	-	60.17	cn.602	4C.U	1 /07	10.02	00.01	C70'0-
Sucusari B	SUC-02	RAINFOR	Peru	-3.23	-72.9	$100^{*}$	Terra firme	1	27.76	294.46	0.54	2671	26.37	15.63	-0.025
		(unpublished data)													
Sucusari C	SUC-03	RAINFOR	Peru	-3.25	-72.93	100*	Seasonally flooded	1	26.38	315.9	0.54	2671	26.37	15.63	-0.025
Sucusari D	SUC-04	(unpublished data) RAINFOR	Peru	-3.25	-72.89	100*	nooueu Terra firme	1	29.62	307.04	0.54	2671	26.37	15.63	-0.025
Sucusari E	SUC-05	(unpublished data) RAINFOR	Peru	-3.26	-72.9	$100^{*}$	Terra firme	1	27.70	297.78	0.54	2671	26.37	15.63	-0.025
Tamhonata nlot	TAM-01	(unpublished data) RAINFOR	Dami	12 85	86 98	330*	Tarra firma	<del>.</del>	78.86	70/ 82	3.46	7170	25.00	17 00	0.048
zero		(unpublished data)		ì				•							
Tambopata plot	TAM-02	RAINFOR	Peru	-12.83	-69.28	230*	Terra firme	1	29.96	289.75	3.46	2417	25.09	17.99	0.048
one		(unpublished data)													
Tambopata plot	TAM-05	RAINFOR	Peru	-12.83	-69.28	230*	Terra firme	1	26.56	294.12	3.46	2417	25.09	17.99	0.048
three			1				+ ; 								
lambopata plot	1.A.M-06		Peru	-12.83	-69.3	230*	lerra hrme	0.90	36.05	266.91	3.46	2417	60.02	66.7T	0.048
tour Tambopata plot	TAM-07	(unpublished data) RAINFOR	Peru	-12.83	-69.27	230*	Terra firme	1	28.95	325.74	3.46	2417	25.09	17.99	0.048
six		(unpublished data)													
Tambopata Ccolpa TCP-02	a TCP-02	Terborgh et al.	Peru	-13.13	-69.56	420*	Terra firme	2.25	24.12	255.95	1.36	3673	23.58	18.29	0.026
pacal upland		(unpublished data)													

© 2006 The Authors

(Contd.)
A1.
Table

						Eleva-		Plot	Basal	$\operatorname{Biomass}^+$	of months	of months monthly		tempera- solar rainfall	rainfall
Plot Name	Plot Code		Country	Latitude (decimal)	Latitude Longitude tion (decimal) (decimal) (m)	tion (m)	Forest Type	Size (ha)	area $(m^2 ha^{-1})$	$(MgDW ha^{-1})$	<100 mm rainfall of rainfall (mm)	rainfall (mm)	ture (°C)	$(\mathrm{MJ}\mathrm{m}^{-2}$ day <sup>-1</sup> )	correla- tion
Yanamono A	YAN-01 <sup>1,3</sup>	YAN-01 <sup>1,3</sup> RAINFOR	Peru	-3.43	-72.85	100*	Terra firme	-	32.41	317.78	0.54	2671	26.37	15.63	-0.025
Yanamono B	YAN-02	(unpublished data) RAINFOR	Peru	-3.43	-72.84	$100^{*}$	Terra firme		30.60	319.24	0.54	2671	26.37	15.63	-0.025
Ē		(unpublished data)	-1	0	00.40	ž	c F	ç	24 40		00 0		Ĭ		100 O
El Caura		Castellanos (1996)	venezuela	00.0- 10.0	-04.99		Terra nrme	51 F	04.40 10.10	06.000/16.000 18/ 76 78/	3.Uð	C077	10.02	67.01	/00.0-
Callo Nosalba ZI CR1 (upland)	10-000		venezueia	C7.6	7/-	20	lerra mule	-	10.17	C0.001 / /7.001		4701	C7:07	CC" / 1	/77.0-
Cano Rosalba Z2 CRS-02 CR2 (alluvial)	CRS-02	Veillon (1985)	Venezuela	9.25	-72	35	Terra firme	Ч	29.59	303/306.85	6.00	1324	28.23	17.33	-0.227
Cerro Neblina	NEB-01	Gentry (unpublished Venezuela data)	Venezuela	0.83	-66.17	*009	Terra firme	Ц	27.92	302.09/306.56 0.51	0.51	2856	24.94	16.48	-0.177
San Carlos de Rio SCR-01 Negro SC1 Uhl	SCR-01	Uhl <i>et al.</i> (1988)	Venezuela	1.93	-67.05	119	Terra firme	Ц	27.80	300.63/273.66 0.33	0.33	3178	26.02	16.62	-0.109
San Carlos de Rio SCR-03 Negro SC3 MAB site	SCR-03	Veillon, Brown, Phillips, Lewis (unnublished data)	Venezuela	1.75	-67	100*	Terra firme	2	33.02	357.08/325.05 0.46	0.46	3093	26.23	16.75	-0.113
low occurrent no	i led at earl	our biomans volues in hold assessment direction has been below from Dolow of al 10000. The associates are admited units the intermediated													

to the plottass value indicate plots with builtying values of plattass, that were removed from the interpolation of basal area using the optimum plot removal procedure as described in the text.

<sup>2</sup>Anomalous plots removed from the kriged interpolation of plot biomass values using the optimum plot removal procedure as described in the text.

<sup>3</sup>Anomalous plots removed from the soils-based interpolation of plot biomass values using the optimum plot removal procedure as described in the text. \*For elevation values were derived from a 1 km resolution digital elevation model.

For forest descriptions, a plot with <0.2ha of rarely flooded forest.

Mean

Mean

Mean